

MINUTES OF THE PARISH COUNCIL MEETING

Tuesday 21st September 2021, Village Hall 7.30pm

Present; Parish Councillors Alan Tyler (Chairman), Simon Barker, Mark Gifford, Hazel Metz, Alison Mosson. Clerk Susan Turner. Guests: Ward Councillors Mark Ruffell and Onnalee Cubitt. Members of the Public: 6.

1 WELCOME

The Chairman welcomed everyone with thanks for attending, and with appreciation to members of the public who had come to the meeting. [Apologies later received from PCC Donna Jones.]

The Chairman noted this is a Parish Council meeting. There was a 'Public Session' for members of the public to raise any questions or concerns. Beyond that the public should not participate in the meeting unless invited to do so.

2 WARD COUNCILLOR UPDATE

Councillor Onnalee Cubitt referred to the Economic, Planning and Housing (EPH) Committee meetings of 2nd and 16th September. Regarding Upper Swallick the outcome was effectively good news in that the short list has been taken forward with no changes. However this brings no certainties, not able to breath easy over this. A draft of the Plan will be in place in March but BDBC is unlikely to regain a five-year land supply for the next three years [until the new Plan adopted]. There remains the potential to put in an outline planning application for Upper Swallick.

Onnalee commented that the Upper Swallick site is a ludicrous place to consider building being on top of an aquafer that feeds the Loddon and the Test. The dividing line between the two catchments is somewhere on top of Farleigh Hill, but shifting so that sometimes one side or the other. So to put 2,400 houses on the chalkland that absorbs the water and filters it through the aquifer to come up as a spring... there is a compelling argument against doing that.

But all the waste water will go to the Loddon. Onnalee noted as an aside that waste water from Longacre (Dummer) should go to Test – instead it is piped to the Loddon, with problems of sewage backing up in pipes because of insufficient pressure.

The argument against building at Upper Swallick is so compelling that she does not feel compromised in making it. The only narratives are water and waste water and this applies across the board. Building for eg in the Town Centre will take the water needed for the treatment outflow. Cannot continue building houses until water and sewage issues are sorted out.

Water companies are legally obliged to supply water. If consulted on development the only available answer is 'yes'. We were promised 10 years ago at the start of the Current Plan review that this would be sorted out. The draft Water Resource Plan makes 25-year commitment agreed with OffWatch; it needs someone to audit what has been done.)

Councillor Ruffell said he agreed regarding the flow of the Loddon and volume of sewage. In addition the coalescence argument is important. It is not right to build around Cliddesden and engulf it – the same argument applies for Old Basing. Both settlements will be swallowed up by all the house building beyond them which is not otherwise linked to Basingstoke. He equally does not feel compromised as the same arguments for each apply.

Re a potential planning application for Upper Swallick it will be very hard to show that it can produce houses in the next five years. The threat will be more likely when the Local Plan is reviewed again in a further five years' time. And if the experience of that five years is anything like the last, then some sites may not be built out. The best case scenario will then be that we will have more time to prepare.

For signature

Question regarding Moto application for a Motorway Service station south of J6. This was mentioned as a source of disquiet as it opens an access to the motorway.

Onnalee commented right to worry re the potential to feed into the Estate’s plans. Cllr Ruffell said the delays with the application had been mostly on the Moto side. Moto had failed to satisfactorily answer questions. The latest submission [Supplementary Planning Document – for the access application - posted on the website on 9th August] – doesn’t deal with any of the major concerns. But is better for BDBC to wait for and answer their arguments rather than have the arguments go directly to the Appeal Inspector. It is thought certain they will appeal. This application remains like a chess piece floating around and would be good for it to be resolved. Moto does not have a strong argument and can be hopeful that the arguments against will resonate. Cllr Ruffell noted a meeting last year of borough and county members and engineers – looking at a variety of options for the M3 including a means of access for the [A33] Reading road traffic. His comment... that at the moment funding for a Hospital is more high priority than another junction on the M3.

Going back to Upper Swallick, the borough environmental team are 100% opposed to it. Cllr Ruffell would not support a Local Plan with Upper Swallick included.

He commented on a good turnout to the demonstration against the housing numbers at the Council offices for the first EPH meeting of 2nd September. (Comment from the Chairman that ‘the more noise made, the better.’)

He also noted that campaigners for the Loddon believe in an agreed common cause, nothing is to be gained by a ‘divide and conquer’ approach.

Question regarding the EPH approved motion to not accept the Government housing figures. There was effectively an agreement to contest the figures?

Onnalee noted that decisions on motions brought to Committee are advisory to the Portfolio holder. However Mark did say that Maria Miller had – prior to ‘the events of last week’ – arranged for Simon Bound to meet Robert Jenrick. It remained to be seen if a similar meeting could be arranged with Micheal Gove. Re ‘exceptional circumstance’, there should be consideration of the high housing delivery in recent years. Letters to MPs are helpful and all adds to the debate. But he noted that there is no appetite in Central Government at the moment to reduce housing figures.

Onnalee said that with the proposed 2023 parliamentary constituency boundary changes, it may well be that Maria Miller will be the MP for Cliddesden (effectively making a swap with Old Basing etc which is peculiar). It is, she said, definitely worth emailing our MPs. Up to us – all of us – to do this. MPs and councillors should respond to their electorate.

Ward Councillors left the meeting with the thanks of the Parish Council

3 PUBLIC SESSION

3.1 ‘Antisocial behaviour’ *A question provoked by an item in the July Newsletter (and following an email on the same subject to the Parish Council). The Newsletter detailed a Parish Council debate regarding antisocial behaviour centred on the Pond bench and which was causing problems to nearby residents.*

‘As the Parish Council has considered the actions of others from (presumably) outside the village and their impact on the village and villagers, could it please also focus on the actions of those from within the village whose actions impact on their neighbours?’

‘As soon as we get good weather, people throw open their window and play music inside and out without a care for this intrusion on others. As a case in point there was recently a party from 8 to 1pm from which noise reverberated around the area and was audible way up Woods Lane. Can we remind people please that villagers have a responsibility to each other not to invade the privacy of others.’

For signature

The Chairman said re the bench, the Parish Council did give this long and hard consideration. He agreed 100% that considering others is something we should all be doing. Suggest the Parish Council can use the *Newsletter* to remind people to be mindful of their impact on others, giving reasons, facts and points of law. Noise nuisance is otherwise an Environmental Health (BDBC) issue. It was also commented that – if organising a party likely to be heard by neighbours – it is helpful and considerate to give them advance warning.

3.2 **'Traffic speeds on Woods Lane & Church Lane'** Question re road safety measures.

'When walking the dog everyday, at school-run time in particular, Woods and Church Lane are the most dangerous places to walk. Suggest needs reduction in speed; a reduction in speed will reduce volumes. Suggest strategically placed 'sleeping policemen' on Woods Lane. On Church Lane, strategically placed pitch points and chicanes would start to solve the problem.'

'On a separate issue when cutting back the hedge at the roadside, have been sworn at for being in the road. When walking in Church Lane received a similar response when asking drivers to slow down. Near misses are not an uncommon occurrence.'

The Chairman and Councillors noted that considerable work and effort had been put in to trying to improve road safety. Following discussion and site visits, HCC considered and agreed more conspicuous signage and road marking in Woods Lane. HCC will in general not take other measures unless there is a record of accident data. They have however said they will consider a build-out at the top of Woods Lane if there are further accidents.

Simon Barker noted that HCC will not introduce 20mph areas on rural roads. This is now Policy following trials, eg in Dummer (where speed data shows traffic speed has increased, not slowed). It is also no longer HCC policy to use 'speed humps' and in any case these can only be put in with associated street lighting.

Since 2016 HCC's 'Safety-led' policy means they will only put in 'intervention' measures on roads with a high data record of road traffic accidents.

On Woods Lane in the last five years only there have only been two reported accidents.

Re 20mph zones it was noted that these can be put in around schools.

A question asked – could this be extended along walking routes to school? Walking from the village this is the main way to walk to school.

The Chairman noted this was a very good point, and Simon Barker said he would ask the question. But it is not HCC policy to do so (they may approve 'pedestrian in road' signs along such routes).

The Chairman said that the Parish Council has purchased 'Speed Indicator Devices' and for the first time is taking reports from their data recorders which will be shared with PCSO Andy Jones.

Comment make that speed limits a waste of time as people will not comply. People will only slow down for obstructions. Actual 'narrowing' of the road is important.

Simon agreed that 'speeding' wasn't in general the issue on Woods Lane as the 30mph limit is too fast for the nature of the road – 15 to 20mph is more suitable.

Comment made that a couple of 'informal' signs put up on Woods Lane do catch drivers' attention and some do actually slow down.

Simon asked if the threatening behaviour incident with the car (a black Range Rover) had been reported? It wasn't a question of what could (or couldn't) be done at the time, but for the Police to be aware, and all such incidents to be logged.

Simon advised that PCSO Andy Jones has a similar work ethic to PC Reid. He would most certainly follow up and make enquiries of any black Range Rover which might be seen in the area / at the school.

For signature

Again we can put a note in the *Newsletter* saying all incidents should be reported via the Hampshire Constabulary website or on 101. (Reporting via 101 is an option in theory be likely to be kept waiting.)

The Chairman asked for any other questions or comments.

A point made from the public that the Ward Councillors' comments regarding water and sewage were really important. But Public Health and Education were also important. 'Even without more houses it can be impossible to register for a GP and the secondary schools in Basingstoke are appalling.'

The Chairman thanked all members of the public for their contributions.

4 MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING of 6th July 2021, agreed and signed.

5 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST in items on the Agenda – none.

6 PLANNING

6.1 Local Plan Update (LPU)

- i. Housing numbers and sites see Ward Councillors Update (item 2). The Regulation 18 consultation on the Draft Local Plan will be published in Spring (likely May) next year.
- ii. To confirm that Upper Swallick is not presently short listed for development.
- iii. LPU Parish meeting hosted by BDBC Planning Policy on 20th September.

A meeting for members of the Parish Council and Neighbourhood Planning team to discuss the LPU process – in general and in particular in relation to the Parish.

BDBC requested feedback from the Parish Council: *'It would be helpful if you could write to us following this meeting letting us know how you would like to be involved and influence the Local Plan Update process. Can you confirm in this letter if you would prefer for the Parish to be given a housing requirement to allocate a site for housing through a Neighbourhood Plan or for any housing allocation/s to made by the Local Planning Authority through the Local Plan Update. If you have any other feedback in relation to the settlement study and the indicative figure provided that would also be useful to be aware of.'*

Draft response from the Parish Council: **APPENDIX I**

NOTED. The housing allocation for Cliddesden Parish is now 20 dwellings up to 2039. Also that the conditions set out in the existing Policy SS5 regarding qualifying developments are presently unchanged – ie blocks of 10 or more within the SPB or blocks of 5 or more outside and adjacent to the SPB.

6.2 STaNH is fortunate in having access to good professional advice. The three Parish Chairmen – from Cliddesden, Ellisfield and Winslade – are writing to all Parishes within the proposed AONB area asking for their involvement and support.

6.3 Neighbourhood Plan.

AGREED unanimously the Neighbourhood Plan Team's proposal to 'Create the Draft Plan for Regulation 14 Consultation as per the draft Policies circulated.'

NOTED The 25 Policies reflect the information and feedback that has come from residents of the Parish all the way through the process

AGREED Proposed expenditure for Consultant as per the South Downs Specialist Advice Service (SAS) estimate: 'The cost is calculated to be £6,637.50 excluding VAT. This is based on 15.5 days at £425 per day plus £50 for travel expenses.'

NOTED The NP has £10,860.44 of Locality funding available.

6.4 Planning Applications

i Parish Applications since last meeting

21/02545/LDEO and /21/02546/LBC (Validated 02 Aug 2021) Cliddesden Down House, Farleigh Road. Certificate for lawfulness for existing operation for a single storey side extension. No objection from Parish Council.

For signature

21/01823/ROC (Validated 17 Aug 2021) Greenlands Nursery, 3A Hackwood Lane. Variation of condition 1 of planning consent 20/01168/FUL (Erection of 3no. detached dwellings) to allow alterations to Plot 1 to include accommodation in roof space.
Parish Council response: to request the Case Officer to please consider the impact on neighbour privacy and enjoyment of their property, given the rural nature of the area and that this is development of a previously agricultural site. Plans should seek wherever possible to avoid detrimental impact on the level of amenity and privacy previously enjoyed by neighbouring residents.

See **APPENDIX II** for all Planning Applications relating to the Parish.

ii **Moto access road**

20/03130/FUL (Supplementary Planning Document on BDBC website 9th August 2021.

7 HIGHWAYS & TRAFFIC

7.1 Village Gateways –

AGREED Suggested design for Gateway sign incorporating 'Leaping deer' motif as per the Cliddesden logo. **APPENDIX III.** This mock-up was forwarded to HCC.

The difficulty is with the locations. At Southlea, HCC won't install a Gateway to replace the existing 'Cliddesden' nameplate sign because of the ditch.

HCC Proposal is to put the 'Gateway' immediately beneath the 30mph sign at Southlea and on Woods Lane. **APPENDIX IV.**

Discussion: Agreed that what the Parish Council had intended for the 'Gateway' as invoking 'rural' and 'heritage' doesn't fit with the style of the large 30 on the yellow background. However..

AGREED To proceed with the best we can do. Having the Gateways and including the deer motif is better than not having them.

ACTION To continue discussion with HCC and confirm the price.

7.2 SID and Data recorders Report from Simon Barker

With thanks to Andy Fewster we now have reports from the data recorders.

Data Report – February 2021 to August 2021

Data for traffic entering the village on Farleigh Road B3046 (Southlea and position near Otters Nursery)

Top speed recorded was in excess of 70mph

Total number of vehicles detected 135,398

Average speed recorded within Cliddesden 30-35mph bracket

76.77% of vehicles recorded were speeding

35.95% 30 35mph

24.13% 35 40mph

10.93% 40 45mph

5.75% above 45mph.

The data will be forwarded to PCSO Andy Jones.

AGREED To request Police Speed Camera van, officer with speed gun to be out during rush hour if possible.

8 HIGHWAYS & VILLAGE MAINTENANCE

8.1 Pond and drainage update

i Pond clearing No further information from HCC re timing. Expected end this year.

ii Pond outflow Site visit of 13th September by HCC Flood and Water Management (FWM) attended by Chairman.

Chairman reported they surveyed the whole length of the outflow, looked at every section, and spoke to most owners. No real problems identified. In 'normal' conditions it should run quite successfully. If there is a problem and the outflow

For signature

blocks up it is up to each landowner to unblock his section. FWM will be writing to each. Important outcome is that we are now on FWM radar – if there are future problems, or applications to build on Southlea Meadow, FWM will be able to give an informed view.

NOTED Permission has been given by Richard Hooper for the Parish Lengthman to clear his channel if needed as it flows into Southlea Meadow.

8.2 Gullies and silt trap clearing

Gullies Chairman reported re 8th September: 'Wednesday night at 9pm they were flushing the road gullies.'

Silt Traps The Parish Lengthsman took photos of the silt traps on their visit of 8th September. **APPENDIX V.I.**

8.3 **Lengthsman visit of 8th Sept** – Worksheet **APPENDIX V.II** Unable to contact them on the day, question as to how much of the work / if the work had been done. Photos showing jobs as they're completed have been requested – and promised for next visit. Councillors to take photos and forward to Clerk.

Next LM visit scheduled for 15th December.

8.4 **The pond bench** has been moved to its new position, with thanks to Simon Barker for organising / co-ordinating. It has been sanded and oiled with thanks to residents.

8.5 **Rights of way** – Footpaths 1 and 2 scheduled for July cutting by HCC Countryside Services (Priority Cutting Scheme) contractor.

NOTED Confirmed completed last week in July.

9 FINANCE

9.1 Payments since last meeting

(15) Clerk Salary – July 2021	£290.55
(16) Clerk Salary – Aug 2021	£290.55
(17) CPRE subs 2021/22	£36.00

9.2 Accounts to date – **APPENDIX VI**

10. FURTHER REPORTS/UPDATES

10.1 Police and Neighbourhood Watch

i Police and Crime Commissioner Following her letter to the Chairman **APPENDIX VII** PCC Donna Jones was invited to a Parish Council meeting. Her office has advised that she had a prior engagement for this evening. However Simon Barker for Neighbourhood Watch has a meeting with the PCC this coming Friday arranged by County Councillor Juliet Henderson – on her plans to help rural communities – to be followed by a 'round table' meeting in the New Year.

TO NOTE As included in the September *Newsletter* – Donna Jones says: 'I have launched a consultation on Priorities in my "More Police, Safer Streets" Police and Crime Plan. I would like to invite you to share your thoughts through the survey,' at:
<https://survey.alchemer.eu/s3/90375152/Police-Crime-Plan-Survey>

ii Local Policing PCSO Andy Jones worked with Andy Reid and has the same policing mindset. He will follow up on limited information, he will knock on doors. 'Things are getting back on track.' Simon noted from the BDAPTC meeting that the messaging has changed regarding PC Reid being directly replaced, at least for the time being. PCSO Andy Jones is supported by a group of six – a combination of Police Constables and Police Community Support Officers. However since the reorganisation some six years ago, they all work out of Whitchurch and cover a very wide area. Andy Jones works four days on and four off; he is the only one who covers Rural South (a beat of some 100² miles). When he is off, others of the team will cover but they may not know the area well.

For signature

Andy does say he is happy to be contacted directly if this might be helpful – but:
'Please continue to report all crime and suspicious incidents through the correct process, for non-emergency using 101 or online (report a crime / ASB to Hampshire Constabulary) <https://www.hampshire.police.uk/ro/report/>) In emergency use 999.'
PCSO 13301 Andy Jones, Basingstoke Rural South 07775 542021
andrew.jones.13301@hampshire.pnn.police.uk

10.2 Newsletter Agreed Hazel Metz will supply a short article on consideration, responsibility and reporting; and Simon Barker on the SID data and speeding..

10.3 Land ownership Hoopers Mead / Century Close

TO NOTE Majority of properties belong to Sovereign Housing. Beyond this (and some private ownership) the road and some of the verge / grassed area belongs to BDBC. The roads are adopted Highway. **APPENDIX VII**

11. NEXT MEETINGS. Tuesdays 7.30pm 2nd November, Village Hall.

Meeting closed at 9.15pm with thanks to all present

For signature Date

APPENDIX I: PARISH COUNCIL FEEDBACK TO BDBC LPU MEETING



Feedback from Parish Council to Local Plan Update Meeting - FIRST DRAFT

- 1 It is noted that the housing allocation for Cliddesden for the LPU up to 2039 is 20 dwellings.
Also that the conditions set out in the existing Policy SS5 regarding qualifying developments are presently unchanged – ie blocks of 10 or more within the SPB or blocks of 5 or more outside and adjacent to the SPB.
- 2 Cliddesden Parish Council requests the criteria presently outlined in SS5 be modified to allow smaller groups of houses (below 5) to count towards a small settlement's housing allocation.
 - i The Parish Council responded to the LPU Issues and Options Consultation questions on the Settlement Study to say that: 'Small windfall sites in small villages should be taken into account in assessing numbers. Not all villages are the same and additional factors should be considered:
 - Maintaining Strategic Gaps
 - The relationship they have to the countryside
 - The level of amenity they provide to adjacent neighbourhoods
 - Protecting Conservation Areas.'
 - ii Smaller settlements attract new small-scale development – in groups of less than 5 dwellings – via 'windfall' including via SS6 exemptions, frequently in overall numbers that exceed the additional housing targets and which can amount to a considerable percentage of a small settlement's overall population.
 - iii Small settlements contribute these numbers to the total housing figures and feel it is very unfair that this contribution isn't recognised in counting to a housing allocation. They may count towards general windfall figures but these are lost amid figures from larger settlements; the figures are not specifically recognised, yet may amount to a comparatively large contribution for a small village.
 - iv Such windfall figures from the most recent Plan Period should be considered when allocating numbers for the next Plan Period.
 - v Where larger sites (in excess of 5 dwellings) are imposed on small villages these can appear over-dominant and detract from the settlement's sense of place and historical development pattern. (Also these allocations have the potential to attract developers aiming to build ever larger sites to the detriment of the host village.)
- 3 Regarding site allocation for the LPU Spatial Strategy, the Cliddesden Neighbourhood Plan is reaching Reg 14 consultation, but will not allocate sites. The Parish Council requests that BDBC includes a separate Development Plan Document within the LPU to allocate the required figures to Cliddesden without allocating specific sites until the NP is adopted and can be given full weight.'

APPENDIX II: PLANNING UPDATE 21ST SEPTEMBER

[21/02545/LDEO and /21/02546/LBC](#) (Validated 02 Aug 2021) Cliddesden Down House, Farleigh Road. Certificate for lawfulness for existing operation for a single storey side extension.

[21/01823/ROC](#) (Validated: Tue 17 Aug 2021) Greenlands Nursery, 3A Hackwood Lane. Variation of condition 1 of planning consent 20/01168/FUL (Erection of 3no. detached dwellings) to allow alterations to Plot 1 to include accommodation in roof space.

[T/00330/21/TCA](#) (Approved 5 August, validated 30 Jun 2021) Cliddesden House, Farleigh Road. (Replacement wording.) Conifer hedge: reduce height to approx 2.1m. 5 x Sycamore (one dead): fell.

[21/02172/LDEU](#) (Granted 23 August, Validated 28 Jun 2021) Myhaven, Woods Lane. Certificate of lawfulness for the existing use of barn 2 as constructed in 2013.

[21/02118/FUL](#) (Pending, Validated 23 Jun 2021) Land Adjacent To Millars Cottages, Station Road. Erection of a single detached one and a half storey dwelling.

[21/02056/FUL](#) (Pending, Validated: Thu 17 Jun 2021) 7 Woods Lane. Erection of a two bedroom bungalow with associated car parking,

[21/01810/HSE](#) (Withdrawn 29th July, Validated 26 May 2021) 27 Southlea. Erection of detached building forming garage/home office and erection of new entrance gates.

[T/00305/21/TCA](#) (Approved 21 July, Validated 15 Jun) The Forge, Farleigh Road. Two Sycamore (G1) – Fell as pushing wall over and overhanging roof of buildings. Trees not yet mature and damage will only increase if left to grow on. There are a number of other trees within the line and so the loss of these 2 trees will have a very minimal impact on the local environment.

[21/01646/HSE](#) (Pending, Validated 12 May 2021) Swallick Cottage, Alton Road. Erection of part single storey, part two story rear extension, new front porch, bay window and detached garage with living accommodation above.

[21/01591/PIP](#) (Pending, Validated 10 May) Little Acre, Woods Lane. Application for Permission in Principle for the residential development for a minimum of 2 and a maximum of 4 dwellings.

[21/01321/RET](#) (Pending, Validated 14th April) Faerie Meadows, 14 Hackwood Lane. Change of use to residential garden land as an extension to the garden.

[21/00931/FUL](#) (Pending, Validated 16 Mar 2021) Newland Lodge, Woods Lane. Demolition of existing bungalow and erection of 1 no. four-bed dwelling.

[21/00831/HSE](#) (Refused 9th Sept, Validated 08 Mar 2021) 4 Hackwood Lane. To add ground floor window to rear of annex and add first floor windows to rear and front of annex.

Preston Candover application

[21/00280/ENSC](#) (Opinion issued 25 Feb 2021- not an EIA development - Validated 25 Jan 2021). Land At Preston Farm, Church Lane, Preston Candover. Request for screening opinion for 28 MW Solar PV Development.

Dummer Applications (J7 warehousing etc)

[20/02586/FUL](#) ('awaiting decision' showing on website – approved by DC 7th April, call in to Sec State) Land At Oakdown Farm, Winchester Road, Dummer. Demolition of three dwellings, out-buildings and related structures and construction of a storage and distribution warehouse including mezzanine floorspace (use class B8) with ancillary offices (use class B1) within Plot 1 of the site, with associated infrastructure works including site access, parking provision, landscaping, site reprofiling, drainage works and diversion of underground pipeline.

Old Basing Applications (MSA J6)

[20/03130/FUL](#) (Pending, Validated 04 Dec 2020) Land At M3 Junction 6 From Junction 5 Off Slip Basingstoke Hampshire. Construction of temporary access road to enable construction of Basingstoke Motorway Service Area (Land At M3 Junction 6 From Junction 5 Off Slip) [Supplementary Planning Document posted website 09Aug21](#)

[17/03487/FUL](#) (Pending, Validated 02 Nov 2017) Land Adjacent To Junction 6 M3 Basingstoke Hampshire Construction of a new Motorway Service Area to comprise an amenity building, lodge, drive thru coffee unit, associated car, coach, motorcycle, caravan, HGV and abnormal load parking, and a fuel filling station with retail shop, together with alterations to the adjoining roundabout on the M3 and slip roads to form an access point and works to the highway. Provision of landscaping, infrastructure and ancillary works.

APPENDIX III: MOCK-UP GATEWAY FOR SOUTHLEA



APPENDIX IV.I: LATEST PROPOSAL FROM HCC SAFER ROADS

Subject: RE: Cliddesden - Fwd: Gateway

Date: 26 August 2021 at 14:19:23 BST

Hi Su,

I have amended the proposal and hope that this has brought us back more in line with the initial thoughts of the residents.

Regarding location 1, I am afraid that this is not a suitable location for a gateway due to the ditch. Using the existing posts, or a bespoke frame would not be practical and would increase costs as well as affect the warranty of the gateway. As you will see from the attached document I have proposed that we remove the existing name signs, installing the new gateway and sign immediately in front of the existing 30mph sign. The verge here is wide enough to install the gateway correctly. This will reduce sign clutter and focus the attention on one location, and will also be consistent with the other two gateways into the village.

Please let me know once you have had a chance to put this to the Parish Council.

Cliddesden gateway CFI Scheme V3

Gateway 1

- 1 x temporary traffic management for national speed limit
- 2 x remove existing name signs from beneath 30mph terminal signs on both sides of road
- 1 x remove duplicate name sign
- 2 x remove existing posts for duplicate name sign
- 1 x supply and fit Glasdon Gateway Standard option, Everwood timber grain effect polymer in dark oak, height above ground 1.3m, overall width 1.5m, complete with 3 slats and without sign face, immediately in front of existing posts on nearside of road.
- 1 x mount new name sign on new gateway



Gateway 2**APPENDIX IV.II: LATEST PROPOSAL FROM HCC SAFER ROADS**

1 x temporary traffic management for national speed limit

2 x remove existing name signs from beneath 30mph terminal signs on both sides of road

1 x supply and fit Glasdon Gateway Standard option, Everwood timber grain effect polymer in dark oak, height above ground 1.3m, overall width 1.5m, complete with 3 slats and without sign face, immediately in front of existing posts on nearside of road.

1 x mount new name sign on new gateway

**Gateway 3**

1 x temporary traffic management for 30mph speed limit

1 x remove existing name sign

2 x remove existing posts

1 x supply and fit Glasdon Gateway Standard option, Everwood timber grain effect polymer in dark oak, height above ground 1.8m, overall width 1.5m, complete with 3 slats and without sign face.

1 x mount new name sign on new gateway



APPENDIX IV.III: LATEST PROPOSAL FROM HCC SAFER ROADS

Subject: RE: Cliddesden - Fwd: Gateway
 Date: 27 August 2021 at 16:11:38 BST
 To: Su Turner <su.newnham@btinternet.com>

Hi Su,

In answer to your questions:

Yes, all the gateways are the same width. We could possibly go wider on Woods Lane and at Manor Farm but this would increase the price.

The sign layout that I have shown is the maximum width that will fit between the posts of a 1.5m wide gateway, and is based on CLIDDESSEN being 75mm x-height. Currently the rest of the wording is at 50mm x-height, but I could reduce that to 40mm which would look like this:



Scheme Ref. 085 - Cliddesden Village Gateways CFI	
Sign Ref. S3	x-height 75.0
Letter colour BLACK	SIGN FACE
Background WHITE	Width 1165mm
Border BLACK	Height 415mm
Material Class R3B Microprismatic	Area 0.49m ²

APPENDIX V.I: LM SILT TRAP PICS FROM 8TH SEPT VISIT



APPENDIX V.II: LM WORK SHEET FROM 8TH SEPT VISIT

Works Recording Sheet Work Schedule **CLIDDESSEN**
2020/21 Lengthsman Work Sheet

Date **8TH SEPT 2021**
Parish %
Highways %

Parish:	Contact Person: SUSAN TURNER	Contact Number
Location	Work required (Please list in priority order)	Start Time
Pond	<p>Please mow, strim, tidy, the 'grounds' around pond. Also to brush down / wipe down noticeboard, phone box, signpost and bench. Also please to 'tidy' pond-side vegetation. Not to cut down, but to pull and remove any invasive weeds – nettle, creeping thistle, if there is any – any bramble please cut back to keep in check – definitely I've seen bind weed if you could please pull this out as much as possible? Would it be possible to wire brush, then oil (Danish oil), the bench? Sorry - cancel this re bench – already been done.</p>	
Bus shelter opposite pond	Please brush down, sweep out, weed in front of, the bus shelter. Also to cut back / remove any vegetation growing on or over.	
Pond – silt traps	<p>Please lift covers of silt traps to the pond – and check and photograph inside the silt traps. I think there are four that are accessible – two by the phone box and two just within the entrance road to the 'farm' lane which meets Church Lane. HCC have committed to regularly cleaning out the silt traps and Parish Council wishes to monitor how effective or otherwise. Please forward the photos. – Thank you</p>	

Lengthsman :-
Contact Number :-

07786 223333

Comments:

APPENDIX VI

CLIDDESSEN PC – INCOME 2021/22 21st Sept 21

£17,262.01

Date	Item	Precept	Grants	Returned funds	Interest	VAT	Total
24/04/21	Parish Precept (six months)	£4,443.00					£4,443.00
28/04/21	Vat refund					£324.77	£324.77
	Bank interest				£0.88		£0.88
TOTALS		£4,443.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.88	£324.77	£4,768.65

£4,768.65

RECEIPTS & PAYMENTS SUMMARY

Bal brought forward 1st April 2020

£17,262.01

Plus income

£4,768.65

Minus expenditure

£4,989.53

Balance**£17,041.13**

BANK RECONCILIATION

Treasurers account

£1,209.66

Inst Accesss

£15,831.47

£17,041.13

April	£0.15
May	£0.16
June	£0.15
Jul	£0.14
Aug	£0.14
Sept	£0.14
Oct	
Nov	
Dec	
Jan	
Feb	
Mar	
Total	£0.88

CLIDDESSEN PC – EXPENDITURE 2021/22 - 21st Sept 21

Date	Supplier	Description	Pay	Salary	Expenses	Finance/ Admin	N'letter	Maint/ce	Community	Pond	NP	VAT	TOTAL
08/04/21	1 HALC (NALC)	Subscription	BACs			£266.27							£266.27
20/04/21	2 Clerk	SalaryApr 2021	BACs	290.55									£290.55
03/05/21	3 Personalised Print	20039-Mar(+insert)/April	BACs				£64.13						£64.13
05/05/21	4 Personalised Print	21007-May	BACs				£29.88						£29.88
13/05/21	5 Royal Mail Group	Freepost Licence Fee	BACs								£99.50	£19.90	£119.40
15/05/21	6 MG for Wckes	Hammarite paint	BACs					£25.00				£5.00	£30.00
15/05/21	6 MG for Screwfix	Paint brushes	BACs					£5.65				£1.12	£6.77
15/05/21	7 Groundforce	Returned Locality Grant	BACs								£2,504.50		£2,504.50
20/05/21	8 Clerk	SalaryMay 2021	BACs	290.55									£290.55
20/05/21	9 Candovers PC	PC Reid donation	BACs						£50.00				£50.00
28/05/21	10 BHIB	Insurance	BACs			£359.87							£359.87
27/06/21	11 Personalised Print	21104-June	BACs				£31.98						£31.98
27/06/21	12 Clerk for LandReg	Deeds-CartCottage	BACs			£6.00							£6.00
01/07/21	13 Personalised Print	21020-July	BACs				£31.98						£31.98
01/07/21	14 Clerk	SalaryJune 2021	BACs	290.55									£290.55
31/08/21	15 Clerk	SalaryJuly 2021	BACs	290.55									£290.55
31/08/21	16 Clerk	SalaryAug 2021	BACs	290.55									£290.55
21/08/21	17 CPRE	Subscription 2021/22	DD						£36.00				£36.00
TOTALS				£1,452.75	£0.00	£632.14	£157.97	£30.65	£86.00	£0.00	£2,604.00	£26.02	£4,989.53

£4,989.53

APPENDIX VII

August 2021

Mr Alan Tyler
Email: alanbtyler19@btinternet.com

Dear Mr Tyler,

During my first weeks as Police and Crime Commissioner I have been largely focussed on getting to grips with the complexities and challenges of my new role and of course, the opportunities to ensure policing supports the needs of the communities they serve. I have spent the first few weeks with the Chief Constable, senior police officers and staff as well as getting out and see operational policing on the frontline.

I wanted to take this opportunity to ensure you had my new contact details. I'm keen to hear your views and the views of those you represent to ensure the policing priorities across the constabulary area reflect the public's views across Hampshire and the Isle of Wight.

People have told me that they don't feel as safe as they used to; that they want to see more police officers on the streets and a crackdown on anti-social behaviour.

One of my first duties as Commissioner is to develop a new Police and Crime Plan that sets out our priorities for policing for the next four years. I will be doing this based on what I have heard from residents during the last year and will be undertaking consultation later in the year when I have set out my priorities

It would be useful for understand the issues in your area, so please do let me know if there are any meetings you would like to me attend.

Yours sincerely

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads 'Donna Jones'.

Donna Jones
Police & Crime Commissioner

APPENDIX VIII: HOOPERS MEAD: LAND OWNERSHIP AND HIGHWAYS RIGHTS

