### Comments received at the NP public engagement meeting 19 June 22

#### **Our Vision**

Why semi-rural? Surely rural!

Why not mention the three solar farms (or will they be dismantled by then?)

#### **Policy NE 1**

:-) = smiley face

#### **Policy NE 2**

Figure 1 – not sure these views match the legend above

Please see Key Views- "F" on the chart "16" in folder is correct

(Ed. We realised the error and had the correct chart available early in the meeting)

#### **Policy NE 4**

I agree with this but I do wonder whether we will object successfully to a development if the developer sites cost as a reason for not burying cable. Costs will always be an issue

#### **Policy NE 6**

How do these balance "all development proposals" shall seek to protect <u>current</u> natural habitats otherwise "Edenbrook"

Suggest "all development proposals will be supported... net gain in biodiversity" should read: "development proposal which do not provide gain in biodiversity will not be supported"

#### **Policy NE 7**

Where do solar farms fit into this? – Good for renewable energy – good for re-wilding – bad for the views

When do the solar panels on our three solar farms become obsolete? What will happen then? (or is it that the company only holds a lease on the land for X years?)

#### **Historic environment**

The AD 727 document was almost certainly a forgery – initiated by the monks of Chertsey Abbey in their intent to reinforce their claim to ownership in 1086. The villagers made it very clear to the compilers that this wasn't true – what is your evidence? Need to quote!

#### **4564 Court House and Farmhouse**

If possible, please add that Court House is the original manor house of the village. I can supply the evidence. Kirsty

#### 50384 Stable at Ashley Lodge

Maybe a bit "woke" - call stable at Ashley Lodge "a home for adults with learning difficulties"

#### **Built Environment -Objective 3**

Third bullet – add "develop within existing settlement boundaries... etc"

#### **Housing Provision**

Second paragraph - does this include supporting self build using technology to achieve low carbon sustainable housing

#### **Settlement Boundaries**

It is not clear why the proposed new settlement boundary only extends to the south of the railway line and not so to the north – (comment supported by two others)

I am pleased to learn that the NP working party are considering adding 'settlement boundaries' to those parts of the village that can be readily identified as 'previously developed' and where it is felt necessary to limit or restrict development pressures for their expansion into countryside areas. Beauclerk Green is an obvious candidate for such but I would also urge the Council to consider such a boundary and designation for the existing and similarly sized 'Shapley enclave' as illustrated below. This developed enclave is less constrained by natural and physical barriers (such as those enjoyed by Beauclerk Green) - especially on more prominent land to the east and west (south of the A30) which will inevitably come under development pressure. This pressure for outward expansion could be prevented by the adoption of a settlement boundary along the lines of the rough sketch below which would have the added benefit of providing the village with a development opportunity for new residential development (to meet any identified demand) in a scheme similar to that recently completed at Shapley Ranch. Such would be accommodated without a visual incursion into undeveloped countryside as the plot is so well screened from all public realm vantage points and presently offers little or no landscape contribution to the Parish.



### Policy BE 3- Housing Mix

Fourth paragraph- "indistinguishable" - how? - by design? - by cost? - by other?

# **Policy BE4 – Development Design Considerations**

Para d - why "existing "sources?

Para I - electric vehicle charging points should <u>not</u> be taken to mean a three pin plug in a weatherproof housing attached to the wall. It should be a full, smart 7 KW unit

## **Residential Parking**

Not just "parking" but also traffic! Winchfield roads are narrow and often have dangerously tight turns (e.g. Bagwell Lane)

## **Closing slide**

Thanks for all the hard work of the NP committee