

Paul Airey Planning Associates Ltd

65 Harefield, Esher, Surrey KT10 9TG

mobile: 07745 718 381 tel: 020 8398 3934

email: paul@paulaireyplanning.co.uk

A summary of the responses received is provided below. Please note that the total number of responses to each question do not always equate to the number of questionnaires received as not every respondent answered every question.

In respect of Q1 *'Would you like to see a village inn, a village shop and a parking area on this site?'* 46 respondents were in favour (64.8% of respondents who answered this question) and 25 against (35.2%). 3 were undecided or unsure.

In respect of Q2 *'Are there any other facilities that you think could be included to enhance the proposals?'* only 13 respondents answered (18%) but they suggested items including:

- More parking
- Speed cameras
- Post office
- Chemist
- Footpath to village Hall
- Coffee shop (x2 responses)
- Zebra crossing and speed signs
- Children's play area
- Affordable housing (x3 responses)

In respect of Q3 *'Do you support the principle of the scheme to help fund village facilities through a low-density housing development?'* 38 respondents said yes and one person stated they had no objection but did not tick the box. 25 people indicated they were not in support and 6 respondents were unsure, or responded with provisos such as 'depends on final scheme', 'only if the neighbours are happy' etc. The response to this question indicates a substantial level of support to the scheme.

In respect of Q4 *'Are there any details of the proposal that you think could be improved?'*, the majority of respondents (40) said no, but 20 indicated yes. The main suggestions were to reduce the number of houses, changing the mix and form of the houses and including affordable housing if this is required. Other suggestions included more parking, or traffic calming measures in Danes Road.

In looking through the responses, it is clear that while there is a significant level of support for the scheme, there are a number of concerns, which have been raised about the proposal, which warrant further investigation, and it is our intention to look