



WINCHFIELD PARISH COUNCIL

www.winchfield-pc.org.uk

Chairman • Andrew Renshaw • Talbothays Farm, Station Road, Winchfield, Hook, Hampshire RG27 8BZ • Tel: 01252 843566
• e-mail: rensaw.aj@gmail.com

Clerk • Alison Ball • e-mail: winchfieldparishclerk@outlook.com • Tel: 01256 397009

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF WINCHFIELD PARISH COUNCIL HELD ON THURSDAY 21 JULY 2022 STARTING AT 7.15 PM IN THE VILLAGE HALL

PRESENT: Cllr Andrew Renshaw (Chairman), Cllr Meyrick Williams (Vice-Chairman), Cllr Louise Hodgetts, Cllr Richard Milnes-James and Cllr Kate Stewart
Cllr Tim Davies, Hampshire County Council (HCC)
Footpaths Warden
Litter Pick Co-Ordinator
6 Members of the Public
Mrs Alison Ball (Clerk)

APOLOGIES

1 Apologies were received from Cllrs Anne Crampton, Spencer Farmer and Tim Southern, Hart District Council (HDC) and members of the Neighbourhood Policing Team.

2 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST AND APPLICATIONS FOR DISPENSATIONS UNDER S33 OF THE LOCALISM ACT 2011

Cllr Milnes-James declared an interest in item 11, Tree and Hedgerow Planting Scheme, as he would be a beneficiary of the scheme.

Cllr Kate Stewart declared an interest in item 12, Land adjacent to Winchfield Court, as she lives opposite the site.

Cllr Hodgetts declared an interest in item 17, Planning, specifically the application for Treen House as this was a close neighbour.

Cllr Davies advised that he had an interest in item 17, Planning, specifically Land at Lodge Farm as he owned the fishing rights at this site.

3 MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING

The Minutes of the Parish Council Meeting held on 19 May 2022 were agreed and signed as a correct record.

4 MATTERS ARISING

None.

5 SOUTH EAST WATER: NEW MAIN THROUGH WINCHFIELD

Cllr Williams advised that all details of the water main installation project were on

the South East Water website and a link to the site was on the WPC website. Pale Lane would be closed between 8 August and 31 October. It was hoped that access to the Country Park would be maintained during the closure.

Station Road was now open and had been swept prior to being opened at the request of Cllr Stewart.

Cllr Stewart advised that she had been in touch with the contractor and asked that the temporary signage that had been left on the verges be collected. These signs were face down so drivers and cyclists would not be confused but could be a danger. She had also asked for clarification of the details of the closure from 25 July for two weeks of the bridge over the railway at Elvetham Heath as the signage appeared to suggest the bridge would be open with traffic lights where the website said it would be closed. She had not received a response to this.

It was hoped that the disruption would not go on for much longer.

6 FOOTPATHS REPORT

The Footpaths Warden reported that he had recently walked all the western footpaths and the Ramblers had done an excellent, professional job of clearing footpaths 2, 501 and 502. The top part of Hook 1 was looking all right but the section further down towards the A30 needed to be looked at.

It was agreed that a note be sent to the Ramblers thanking them for their work clearing these three footpaths.

Cllr Williams confirmed that the work on footpath 4 had been completed.

Cllr Williams felt there had been some issues this year as the HCC Rangers team for the North had been operating at 50% and WPC had not been consulted on the priority cutting list as would usually be the case. It was hoped and anticipated that HCC Rangers would be cutting back on footpaths 3 and 15. Cllr Davies confirmed he would look into this. The Footpaths Warden suggested that footpath 3 did not need any cutting back and he would check footpath 15.

Cllr Williams advised that the lengthsman would be instructed in August to carry out some maintenance items including clearing back vegetation from around the bus shelter. There was just over £3,000 in the lengthsman fund and it would be sensible to try to allocate work to this before the end of the financial year. Enquiries had been made with other contractors regarding costs for cutting back and the costs were comparable so it would be better to use the lengthsman scheme, at least initially.

Cllr Williams reported that the PCC had recently had a survey carried out of the trees in the churchyard and four of the big trees needed attention. One of the trees was overhanging the footpath and he suggested that the Parish Council assist with the cost of the work on this tree as it was important to get it done for the safety of the public.

It was **AGREED** that a grant of £250 be paid to the Winchfield Parochial Church Council to be used towards tree works.

It was noted that a local contractor was in discussion with the landowner about the works at Round Copse on the uneven paths. The landowner would only work with one contractor and it was hoped the work would be done within the next month or so.

It was **AGREED** that £1,500 be set aside for the work on the footpaths at Round Copse.

7 HIGHWAYS REPORT

Cllr Williams thanked Cllr Davies for his perseverance with Chatter Alley as the hedging had recently been cut back which had made a big difference as it had been so overgrown it had caused the road to narrow. Cllr Davies confirmed that it had taken 14 months but he was very pleased this had now been done and once the work had been completed with the water main the verge on the other side of the road would be repaired.

It was noted that the pot holes on Pale Lane and one on Station Road had been filled.

There would be further traffic disruption over the coming weeks as the A323 Fleet Road would be closed overnight (9pm to 6am) every weekday night from 1 August until October to allow for maintenance work to the M3 bridge. It was noted that this closure would be taking place at the same time as the Pale Lane closure. Cllr Davies confirmed that he had requested that the signage be very clear that the closure of the A323 would only be overnight and the road would be open during the day.

Cllr Davies reported that he had again raised the issue of the blocked drains at the side of the B3016 which was causing flooding as the run-off from the M3 collected at this point. He was aware that this should be the responsibility of Highways England.

Cllr Williams reported that a consultation on 20mph speed limits in Hampshire was being undertaken by HCC. It was felt that the Parish Council should submit an official response and Cllrs Hodgetts and Williams agreed to draft a response for collective approval before submission.

Cllr Hodgetts advised that she had been in contact with HCC regarding the M3 soakaway and the flooding. The Engineer had agreed to look at this and get a team with a camera to establish the issue. It was noted that there was some dispute regarding responsibility for this but the Engineer had agreed to get this done to try to move forward with a resolution. She would chase this up.

Cllr Hodgetts confirmed that the HCC representative had not been able to attend the regular liaison meeting so a separate meeting had been held which had been very positive. As the majority of the planned road closures were coming to an end it had been agreed that the work to improve the signage on the road and at the side of the road at the Barley Mow junction should now progress. Also included in these works

would be the repainting of the SLOW marking at the Hurst. Consideration had also been given to carrying out some further works under the Community Funded Initiative. These works would be funded by WPC and carried out by HCC and could include visual effects designed to slow motorists such as gateway entry signs and bollards. If all the works were carried out at the same time it would reduce overall costs. It was estimated that the costs for gateway entry street furniture and graduated bollards would be in the region of £5,200. This cost would include the street furniture and its installation including the road closure needed whilst the work was being carried out.

A discussion took place regarding the type of measures that would be effective and would likely be approved by HCC. It was agreed to submit the application to HCC to assess whether works were possible and if approved look in more detail about what could be done.

It was **AGREED** to make a submission to HCC at a cost of £350 to assess whether gateway entry improvements at the Barley Mow could be undertaken.

Cllr Hodgetts reported that the Casualty Reduction Team had agreed to fully fund a traffic management survey on the B3016 specifically around the pet crematorium. She would liaise closely with them on the timing of the survey to ensure it was undertaken at the best possible time to show usage and not during school holidays or when a road closure may be affecting use. It was noted that WPC could fund surveys itself but that the results of any surveys could only be used by HCC.

Cllr Hodgetts confirmed she had raised concerns regarding commercial vehicles accessing Charrington Farm with HCC officers. They had suggested that the waiting area between the highway and the gate was too short and should allow for large vehicles to wait completely off the highway. It had been suggested that WPC contact Planning at HDC and Charrington Farm to see if something could be done to improve safety here. It was requested that if any members of the public had photographic evidence of the problems at this site it be shared with WPC.

8 NEIGHBOURHOOD DEVELOPMENT PLAN - REVIEW

Christine Strudwick advised:

- The last Community Engagement meeting event had been held on 19 June which, considering it had been a lovely day and also Fathers Day, was surprisingly well attended.
- All the draft policies and some high level text to explain them were available and complimentary feedback was received from those who attended.
- Since the Community Engagement meeting there had been two further Steering Group and Working Group meetings addressing open items and making final decisions; all the text for the Plan had been completed and photographs had been selected to offer for use in the design.
- The Evidence Base contents list, Glossary and Bibliography had been completed and the planning consultant had finalised the text in the main plan. The consultant was preparing the 'Basic Conditions Statement' which would need to

- accompany the Plan in the submission to HDC.
- A local designer had, at a very competitive rate, designed a new logo to use on the promotional material for the consultation and he had also designed the front cover which had met with the approval of the Working Group.
 - The draft plan would be sent to an independent examiner for her expert ‘health check’. Once the examiner had produced an outline report, the NDP group would work with her to go through any recommendations for changes and modifications. Once those had been done the plan would go to the designer, ‘Yellowfields’ Graphic Design. This company was based in Scotland but had come recommended and had offered a ‘not for profit’ rate which had been accepted at the last WPC meeting. The Graphic Designer would do the professional layout work working remotely with the NDP group.
 - According to the schedule it should be possible to move to ‘Reg 14’ in early September. This would be when WPC would put the plan out online and in a published form, to residents, a list of required consultees, certain statutory bodies including the Environment Agency, Natural England and Historic England, plus all stakeholders for a six-week consultation period.
 - All residents would be notified by way of a flyer asking them to respond to the consultation.
 - At the end of the Reg 14 consultation all responses would be reviewed and appropriate changes and modifications would be made. A ‘consultation statement’ would be written which would record all comments received during the Reg 14 consultation period and say what action / amendment / modification had been made or an appropriate statement of why it was decided not to take any action. This statement would be submitted to the Examiner.
 - Once this had been completed it would go to HDC and HDC would make the formal ‘submission’, which was known as ‘Reg 16’ and involved a further six-week consultation before the plan would be submitted by HDC to the examiner. All responses to the Reg 16 consultation would be submitted straight to the examiner; there would not be any opportunity to respond to those until the Examiner’s report was received in which he or she would comment on those responses
 - A further update on the next stages would be reported in September along with a further progress report and by then the date for submission to the Examiner might be known.

Christine expressed her thanks to everyone who had worked so diligently to get to this stage. She advised that the Working Group had been amazing and she felt that whilst they would all be delighted to see the plan ‘done’ even the hard work had been fun at times!

The Chairman thanked all who had been involved in the review and especially thanked Christine who had put in many hours and had done an excellent job leading the team.

Cllr Williams asked if everyone was happy that the draft NDP be sent to the independent examiner and all had seen a copy of the revised NDP. The length of the next stage would depend on how many amendments the independent examiner

recommended. He suggested that it was necessary to allocate up to £6,000 for any work needed by the planning consultant before the meeting in September. He anticipated that another £6,000 would be spent beyond September to completion of the project.

It was **AGREED** that: (1) the draft NDP be sent to the independent examiner for review; and (2) £6,000 from the Planning fund be allocated for any work needed by the planning consultant before the meeting in September.

9 RURAL EXCEPTION SITE

Cllr Hodgetts advised that the working group, made up of her, Cllr Williams and representatives from HDC, Action Hampshire and English Rural, had been working through the sites put forward as potential locations for affordable housing. Four sites had been put forward and the Housing Association had looked into other sites in the Parish that might be viable. Cllr Hodgetts felt confident that the Housing Association would find as many sites as possible and all were working well together to identify the positives and negatives with each site.

Cllr Hodgetts reported that unfortunately Action Hampshire had had its funding removed and they were having to look at alternative ways to raise funds. The officer from Action Hampshire that had been working on the project was very experienced and had been invaluable through the process so far. She had lots of relevant experience and brought a unique perspective to the Working Group. Cllr Hodgetts and Cllr Williams felt it was important that she remain part of the Working Group and consideration was being given to tri-party funding of her role. This would involve WPC contributing one third of the funds to cover costs for 12 months. For this the officer would chair the Working Group, attend two community events with a small amount of contingency for additional work. The Parish Council's contribution would be £575 plus VAT.

It was hoped that by the meeting in September it would be possible to share a shortlist of proposed sites.

It was **AGREED** that £575 plus VAT be allocated from the Planning Fund for the retention of the services of the officer from Action Hampshire on the Rural Exception Site Project.

10 QUEEN'S PLATINUM JUBILEE

Cllr Stewart advised that six events had taken place across the village to celebrate the Queen's Platinum Jubilee over the first weekend in June. They had been held at the Village Hall, Winchfield Court, King William Court, Beauclerk Green, Bagwell Lane and Barley Mow Close.

The Village Hall, Winchfield Court Residents Group and King William Court Residents Group had all received a grant from WPC to help with the costs associated with the events. The other events had been organised by the residents, rather than a formal group, and had liaised with Cllr Stewart regarding items WPC could purchase for each of the events. Cllr Stewart had visited four of the events and all were appreciative of the financial assistance from the Parish Council.

The following expenditure on the Jubilee events was **NOTED**:

Winchfield Village Hall - £300.00

Winchfield Court - £330.00

King William Court - £156.00

Beauclerk Green - £329.72

Bagwell Lane - £192.61

Barley Mow Close - £109.42

11 TREE AND HEDGEROW PLANTING SCHEME

Cllr Stewart reminded the meeting that a leaflet had been distributed to all households in the Parish setting out the proposals for tree planting and asking residents to confirm what trees/hedging they would like. Residents were offered two free trees but applications had also been sought from residents who thought they could accommodate more trees. She had received responses from one third of the residents requesting a total of 230 trees and 400 metres of hedging. All felt that this was a fantastic response and were very pleased to have so many residents wanting to plant trees.

Cllr Stewart advised that she had originally planned to procure trees from the Woodland Trust but she had recently discovered it was not possible to pre-order and they could not confirm stock availability for the autumn. They also only had 60cm whips available and Cllr Stewart was hoping to get slightly bigger trees that would be more established and more likely to grow once planted. In addition the Woodland Trust required trees to be ordered in batches and this would mean over-ordering resulting in trees surplus to requirements. Bearing all this in mind Cllr Stewart had looked at other suppliers. A contact that had completed a similar project in Dogmersfield had recommended a company in Scotland which she had contacted but the trees they supplied were smaller than Cllr Stewart had hoped to get. Cllr Stewart had also been in touch with a local company who could supply the trees needed, at the time needed and in the specific quantity needed. These trees would be approximately 1.2 to 1.5 metres high and would cost approximately 50 pence more per tree than those from the Woodland Trust. This price was approximate at this stage as none of the suppliers could confirm costs for the autumn. As it was likely that the order for the trees would need to be placed before the meeting in September, Cllr Stewart requested authority to spend up to £5,000 excluding VAT for the purchase of trees. In addition she would need to source the cheapest and most effective tree protectors. It was hoped that the trees would be delivered in November for immediate planting. It was best to plant the trees at the dormant stage so they could settle before the growing season.

Some residents had requested help with planting and there had been some offers to help with this. Cllr Stewart would co-ordinate volunteers with planting once they were at this stage.

If all went well with this project, next year Cllr Stewart would look at liaising with landowners to fill in some of the gaps in the hedging across the village.

The Chairman thanked Cllr Stewart for her work on this and commended the achievement of getting one third of the village signed up. It was suggested that an article be placed in Contact magazine over the Winter with details of the project.

It was **AGREED** to allocate up to £5,000 excluding VAT for the purchase of trees for the tree planting scheme.

12 LAND ADJACENT TO WINCHFIELD COURT

It was confirmed that there was still no update on the hearing to consider the appeals against the Enforcement Notices, and the Enforcement Team at HDC was continuing to monitor the site.

13 LITTER PICK PLANS

The Litter Pick Co-ordinator advised that the next litter pick would take place in November with details to be confirmed nearer the time.

14 UPDATE ON THE SPEED INDICATOR DEVICES

Cllr Hodgetts reminded the meeting that in May it had been agreed to have a gsm modem fitted to the SID to allow data to be collected remotely. It had not been possible to do this due to a worldwide shortage of chips but it was hoped it would be available in the coming weeks. The SID would need to be sent away for this to be fitted and there would be costs associated with postage and insurance for the SID whilst in transit. Also included would be a new style of bracket, more of which, if more user friendly than the old style, would be purchased to replace all the brackets.

It was **AGREED** that funding of £582 + VAT be approved for the fitting of a gsm modem to the SID and associated costs to allow data to be collected remotely.

15 UPDATE ON THE DEFIBRILLATORS

Cllr Hodgetts advised that there was nothing new to report, as thankfully the defibs had not been used. She had received the go ahead to organise a CPR training event and she was aiming for this to take place in the Autumn.

16 S106 MONEY

Cllr Milnes-James had had a conversation with the officer at HDC responsible for the expenditure of s106 funds. He had discussed four projects with the officer including acoustic rafts for the village hall, community land purchase, footpath construction and the tree and hedgerow planting scheme. The officer had indicated the planting scheme would not be eligible for s106 funding unless the trees were being planted in a place accessible to the public but the other three schemes may qualify. Cllr Milnes-James would work with the HDC officer to draft a paper containing proposals for consideration by HDC. It was noted that the money should be available until at least November 2023.

The discussion regarding the improvements at the Canal had stalled but this could be resurrected at any time. Cllr Davies asked for details of this to be sent to him to see if he could follow it up.

PLANNING

Applications received since the last meeting:

22/00989/HOU The Old School House, Bagwell Lane. Retention of outbuilding. WPC response: The title of this application is misleading. It is not for the ‘retention’ of a shed; it is a retrospective application for a building erected without planning permission. Its size, both in terms of footprint and height, its design, and very prominent siting are at odds with what you would normally think of in terms of a garden shed. This building can be seen from the public footpath, and from Bagwell Lane. The house is opposite Winchfield’s Grade 1 listed church.

Although described as single storey, the shed in fact rises to about the halfway height of the upper storey of Old School House (and this is before any roof cladding is put on). Why is it so high? What is it going to be used for? Is it capable of being used as an annexe to the property? The application states that the shed is two metres from the boundary, but it appears to be closer to the boundary than this. The application states that the new shed replaces an earlier version which collapsed. However, it is in a different position on the site and is considerably larger and higher. The application states that the shed will be painted in Holly Green wood preserver – there are many different shades of Holly Green – the applicant should offer a colour sample that demonstrates that the shed will fit in and be in keeping with the location near to a Grade 1 listed structure. Winchfield Parish Council is opposed to the application unless there are satisfactory answers to the questions it raises in view of the building's siting, design and size.

At the meeting it was reported that under delegated powers a planning officer had granted permission for the above application. Concerns were raised about this and it was agreed to write a letter to HDC outlining these concerns including the fact that the report incorrectly stated that the outbuilding could not be seen from the road or footpath 7, that not all neighbours had been consulted and that the outbuilding was in no way in keeping or sympathetic to the Old School House or the grade 1 listed church on the opposite side of the road.

22/00429/FUL Dignity Pet Crematorium, Odiham Road Demolition of garage and sheds, erection of a two storey extension to outbuilding, installation of solar panels, replacement of double doors to ground floor front with a window and the siting of two storage containers (part retrospective). WPC response: No objection.

22/01293/PRIOR Treen House, Odiham Road Notification of a proposed larger home extension for the erection of a single storey rear extension. WITHDRAWN.

22/01446/PRIOR Treen House, Odiham Road Notification of a proposed larger home extension for the erection of a single storey rear extension. WPC response: no comments.

22/01347/EIA Land at Lodge Farm, Hook Road, North Warnborough Request for Scoping Opinion in relation to development proposals of approximately 32 hectares. WPC response: Winchfield Parish Council would like to see commissioned a detailed transport plan covering all routes to and from the proposed depot including the B3016 and an environmental study of all areas which will be impacted by the increase in traffic.

Since the submission of the above comment, the Parish Council had seen the comprehensive submissions by Odiham Parish Council. It was agreed that a note

be sent to planning at HDC expressing WPC's full support for the submissions by Odiham Parish Council.

Cllr Williams advised that the chairman of a local action group opposed to this development had been in contact and had provided some leaflets with details of the application which would be distributed to residents.

22/01494/PREAPP The Chase, Station Hill Construction of two x 4 bedroom detached houses within the existing garden. It was agreed not to comment at this time.

18 FINANCE AND GOVERNANCE

18.1 Q1 2022/23 Summary of Receipts and Payments to 30 June 2022

The payments and receipts for 2022/23 quarter one as shown in Appendix 1 were received and approved.

18.2 Bank Reconciliation to 30 June 2022

The bank reconciliation to 30 June 2022 as shown in Appendix 2 was received and approved.

18.3 Grants for Approval

A request for a grant had been received from the Basingstoke Canal.

Cllr Milnes-James advised that at the recent meeting of the Hampshire District Association of Parish and Town Councils a parish councillor from Church Crookham had asked that the seven parish councils that the canal ran through provide letters to support concerns they had raised over the canal. The primary concern was the delay to repairing the leak in the canal at Crookham Wharf and the inaction over the dredging of the canal over a number of years. As a result the operation of some of the boats on the canal had been restricted because the water had become too shallow and if commercial activities were to reduce or cease entirely this would significantly reduce income for the canal which contributed towards the maintenance costs. This letter needed to be sent prior to the meeting of the Joint Management Committee for the Basingstoke Canal on 15 July and had been agreed by email.

It was noted that the amount that each parish council contributed to the canal was worked out based on population. Contributions were also received from the larger councils but two Surrey district councils had refused to contribute anything and Rushmoor Borough Council had recently agreed to reduce its contribution by 50%. It was suggested that WPC raise this as an issue with the local HDC councillors.

It was **AGREED** that (1) a grant of £250 be made to the Basingstoke Canal; (2) it be noted that a letter was sent to the Chair of the Basingstoke Canal Joint Management Committee expressing concerns over the condition of the Basingstoke Canal; and (3) a letter be sent to the local Hart District Councillors requesting that they look at the funding for the canal and write to the relevant authorities requesting that they contribute as appropriate.

18.4 Payments for Approval

The following payments were approved:

Clerk - AB	August Salary	£328.03
Clerk - AB	September Salary	£328.03
Clerk – AB	Expenses (WFH Allowance June & July)	£26.00
Basingstoke Canal	Annual Contribution	£250.00
M Williams	Reimbursement for expenditure	£145.39
Carter Jonas	NDP Work to 24 May 2022	£1,024.80*
K Stewart	Reimbursement for Jubilee Costs	£329.72*
B R Robinson	Work on Footpath 4	£820.00*
C Strudwick	NDP Expenses	£130.42
K Stewart	Reimbursement for Jubilee Costs	£302.03*
Charterlith the Printers	NDP Flyers	£24.12*
Carter Jonas	NDP Work to 24 June 2022	£5,695.20*
Richard Franke	NDP Cover Design	£140.00
*Payment already made; expenditure agreed by email and within previously agreed budgets		

19 CORRESPONDENCE

No correspondence had been received other than that already forwarded and none that needed addressing that had not appeared elsewhere on the agenda.

20 ANY OTHER BUSINESS *Report Only*

Cllr Davies reported that:

- Wildfires – there had been a large wildfire on Yateley Common which had resulted in extensive damage. It was worthy of note as the ground was very dry and there were a number of areas in Winchfield which could be of concern.
- Energy conservation – it was very likely that we would all be asked to look at ways to conserve energy over the winter months and Cllr Davies asked WPC to think about having the street lights on the B3016 turned off during the late night (he suggested half an hour after the last train until early morning). It was noted that HCC was responsible for this and Cllr Davies agreed to raise it with the relevant department.

It was **AGREED** that (1) a letter be written to HCC asking if it would be possible to turn off the street lights on the B3016 overnight; and (2) a letter be written to HDC asking if a district wide policy on street lighting would be introduced.

In relation to the last item a member of the public asked if the lights at the railway station could be switched off overnight as all the lights were left on. It was **AGREED** that a letter be written to South Western Railways asking if the lights could be turned off or reduced overnight at the train station.

21 DATE OF NEXT MEETING

The dates of future meetings in 2022 were noted: 22 September, 24 November, all starting at 7.15 pm.

There being no further business, the meeting closed at 8.50 pm

		WINCHFIELD PARISH COUNCIL		
		SUMMARY RECEIPTS & PAYMENT ACCOUNT		
		<u>1st QUARTER ENDED 30 JUNE 2022</u>		
Annual budget/ allocation	Spend to 30-Jun		Figures shown exclusive of VAT	
			£	£
		RECEIPTS		
31,510.00		Precept	31,510.00	
195.00		Bank Interest	224.13	
-		NDP Grant	-	
3,233.06		Other	-	
34,938.06				
		TOTAL RECEIPTS		
		31,734.13		
		PAYMENTS		
4,250.00	984.11	Net Salaries & Allowances	984.11	
450.00	144.15	Administration	144.15	
718.00	-	Office Equipment	-	
301.29	-	Repairs & Maintenance	-	
400.00	420.57	Insurance Premium	420.57	
2,300.00	1,850.00	Grants & Donations:	1,850.00	
2,000.00	1,115.72	Jubilee	1,115.72	
660.00	-	Section 137	-	
150.00	-	Training	-	
60.00	-	Hall Hire	-	
660.00	137.50	Audit Fees	137.50	
400.00	302.06	Subscriptions	302.06	
-	-	Other A (Contingencies)	-	
54,925.00	3,956.27	Other B (Planning Counsel & NDP)	3,956.27	
27,005.78	1,386.00	Community Benefit	1,386.00	
-	825.56	VAT on payments	825.56	
94,280.07	11,121.94			
		TOTAL PAYMENTS		
		11,121.94		
		BALANCE BROUGHT FORWARD on 01/04/22		
		74,560.26		
		ADD Total Receipts (as above)		
		31,734.13		
		LESS Total payments (as above)		
		11,121.94		
		Balance Carried forward 30/06/22		
		95,172.45		
These cumulative funds are represented by:				
Current Account Balance			66,341.23	
Less: Cheques drawn but not debited as at 30.06.22			None	
Deposit Account Balance			2,061.63	
Other Account			26,769.59	
		95,172.45		
Signed:				
Responsible Finance Officer to Winchfield Parish Council			Date:	
Signed:				
Councillor			Date:	

WINCHFIELD PARISH COUNCIL
BANK RECONCILIATION as at 30/06/2022

LLOYDS BANK

Current Bank Account 01235673
Balance per statement

£

66,341.23

LLOYDS BANK

Business Reserve Account 07285516
Balance per statement

2,061.63

CAMBRIDGE & COUNTIES

Deposit Account 15012029
Balance per statement

26,769.59

Less unpresented cheques as at 30/06/2022

Cheque Nos: None

TOTAL CASH AT BANK**95,172.45**

Alison Ball

Clerk to Winchfield Parish Council and Responsible Finance Officer

Dated:

Councillor:

Dated: