

BISHOPSTOKE PARISH COUNCIL

Minutes of a Meeting of the Planning Committee held in the Parish Office, Riverside, Bishopstoke commencing at 7.00pm on 12 December 2017

Present: Cllrs Greenwood (Chair), Brown, Dean, Francis, Thornton, Tidridge and Toher

In Attendance: Mr D Hillier-Wheal (Clerk to Bishopstoke Parish Council)
Cllr Mignot

Public Attendance: 2 members of the public were present

PLAN_1718_M14/

Public Session

131 Apologies for Absence

131.1 All members of the Committee were present.

132 To adopt as a true record, and sign, the Minutes of the Planning Committee meeting held on 28 November 2017

132.1 The Minutes of the above meeting had been circulated prior to the meeting.

132.2 Proposed Cllr Toher, Seconded Cllr Dean, **RESOLVED** unanimously that the minutes of the Planning Committee meeting held on 28 November 2017 be accepted as a true record.

133 To consider Matters Arising from the above Minutes

133.1 There were no matters arising.

134 Declarations of Interest and Requests for Dispensations

134.1 Application H/17/81900 was for a previous Cllr, known to all the Committee members. The Clerk had granted dispensation for the Committee to discuss the application.

135 Consideration of Planning Applications

135.1 H/17/81900 – 63 Underwood Road – Single storey side and rear extension – The resident informed the committee that the plan included with the application was not completely correct as a small parcel of land had been missed. The Committee noted that the extension as shown on the plan was both rear and side, whereas the description on the application was for a side extension only. The Committee agreed to Raise No Objection (RNO) to the application.

135.2 F/17/81829 – 1 Bishopstoke Park – Construction of additional footways to serve Garnier Drive and Walter Lane – The Committee noted that the application states that Bishopstoke Park is on Church Lane, when in fact it is sited on Church Road. The Committee also wished to know whether there is an obligation on anyone to place signs marking out permitted footpaths. The Clerk informed the Committee that this is the responsibility of Hampshire Highways. The Committee agreed to RNO to the application.

135.3 T/17/81970 – Bishopstoke Cemetery – Beech and Oak along edge of lawn area (T1) - crown raise to 2.5m to allow mower access. Willow along edge of lawn area (T2 and T3) - to cut back as far

Initial: _____ Date: _____

as necessary to allow mower access and prevent danger to visitors. The Committee declined to comment on this application as it is on behalf of the Parish Council.

135.4 RM/17/81969 – Land to the North of Church Road – Reserved matters for construction of 27 dwelling units, open space and ancillary works (layout, scale, appearance and landscaping details) – Cllr Brown commented that despite promises being made that the site would be aimed at those working from home, there seem to be no features that would enable that – no mention of a superfast connection, no space for a study in a number of homes, no communal space that could be used by a group of homeworkers and very few dwellings having the promised study or downstairs bedroom. Cllr Brown also noted that the whole site still seems to serve as an entry-point to a parcel of land behind it, despite assurances that it was not intended to fulfil this function. Cllr Tidridge stated that the plans still appear to be overdevelopment. Church Road is not designed for the amount of traffic that both construction, and those houses being filled with families, would bring. Cllr Tidridge also stated it would be vital that the enforcement by the planning authority be rigorous. Cllr Toher enquired whether it would be possible for the committee to have a copy of the Construction, Design and Management Plan, re-iterated the point that it seems to be opening up new land to development, and noted that some comments were still being posted in the .msg format, which not everyone can access. The Clerk was requested to contact the Borough again with a view to changing this. Cllr Dean asked whether the promised meetings between Bargate and the residents were likely to happen. The Clerk was requested to contact Bargate to find out. The Committee asked the Clerk to set in motion the process for asking the Local Area Committee to look at the application, rather than having a delegated decision. Further questions as to whether the trees on the Church Road boundary are to be retained, and regarding who owns the land on which the footpath will run after the development were asked. The Committee were also concerned as to the proximity of a play area and a rest home, to which access was already a problem for ambulances. Safety has to be a priority both during construction and afterwards. Finally, the Committee requested a copy of the current transport management plan. For all the grounds above, the Committee agreed to both object to the application, and to request the decision be taken at the Local Area Committee.

Action: Clerk

136 Report on recent planning decision

136.1 F/17/81543 – 50 Hamilton Road – Change of use from hairdressing salon to dog grooming business – The Committee agreed to Raise No Objection (RNO) to the application – EBC Permitted the application.

136.2 F/17/80922 – 70-72 Stoke Common Road – Construction of 1 self-build, two-bed dwelling on land to the rear of existing property – The Committee agreed to RNO to the application, but wished to comment that any permission should ensure that there is no access to the allotments from the proposed dwelling and that given the residential neighbourhood the condition relating to hours of work should be strongly enforced – EBC Permitted the application.

137 Clerk's Report

137.1 The Clerk stated he had nothing further to add.

138 Date, time, place and agenda items for next meeting

138.1 The next meeting will be on Tuesday 9 January 2018, at 7:00pm. The doors will be open at 6:45pm for viewing of applications.

138.2 Any agenda items should be submitted in writing to the Clerk at least 7 days before the meeting.

139 Motion for Confidential Business

139.1 Not proposed.

Initial: _____ Date: _____

140 Reported Breaches of Development Control (Confidential Business)

140.1 The Clerk reported no new alleged breaches of Development Control.

140.2 The Clerk reported no concluded breaches of Development Control.

140.3 Councillors reported no development control issues.

There being no further business, the Chair closed the meeting at 7.30pm

Chair's Signature: _____ Date: _____

Clerk's Signature: _____ Date: _____