

# BISHOPSTOKE PARISH COUNCIL

## Minutes of a Meeting of the Planning Committee held in the Parish Office, Riverside, Bishopstoke commencing at 7.00pm on 25 October 2016

**Present:** Cllrs Toher (Chair), Brown, Dean, Francis and Thornton (from Item 120).

**In Attendance:** Mr D Hillier-Wheal

**Public Session** Cllr Moore and 1 member of the public were present

**PLAN\_1617\_M12/**

### **117. Apologies for Absence**

117.1 Apologies had been received and were accepted from Cllr Greenwood.

### **118. To adopt as a true record, and sign, the Minutes of the Planning Committee meeting held on 11 October 2016**

118.1 The Minutes of the above meeting had been circulated with the Agenda.

118.2 Proposed Cllr Toher, Seconded Cllr Dean, **RESOLVED** with Cllrs Brown and Francis abstaining (absent) that the minutes of the Planning Committee meeting held on 11 October be accepted as a true record.

### **119. To consider Matters Arising from the above Minutes**

119.1 There were no matters arising.

### **120. Declarations of Interest and Requests for Dispensations**

*Cllr Thornton arrived at this point.*

120.1 None declared or sought.

### **121. Consideration of Planning Applications**

121.1 A/16/79311 – River Inn, 2 Fair Oak Road – Display of six signs comprising two internally illuminated fascia signs, two internally illuminated 5m double legged totem signs and two internally illuminated 2m double legged signs – The Committee noted that the site is in the Conservation area, and that Tesco had previously been denied illuminated signs. In addition, there would be potential issues for residents of Montague Road. The extra illumination could affect the local bat population. The committee agreed to Raise No Objection (RNO) to the signs themselves, but comment to the effect that illuminating them could cause light pollution. In addition, the Clerk was asked to contact Debbie Salmon, the bio-diversity officer, regarding the bats.

**Action: Clerk**

*Cllr Daly arrived at this point*

121.2 C/16/79287 – Oakbank, Oakbank Road – Construction of detached three bedroom dwellings with basement, attached double garage, parking and landscaping – The committee had concerns over replacing the garden with another house. The response from the County Archaeologist was read by the Committee, who agreed with all of his concerns. The Committee were also concerned over the flood risk, as the property will have a basement. It was also felt that this would constitute over-development

Initial: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

in the Conservation area, on a site with historical significance. The comment agreed to object on all grounds listed here.

121.3 C/16/79288 – 69-73 Bishopstoke Road – Retention of entrance door to front replacing service screen (retrospective application) – RNO.

121.4 F/16/79310 – 107 Templecombe Road – First floor side extension and conversion of garage into living accommodation – The Committee noted that if any new window overlooked the neighbouring property then it would need obscure glazing. Also, the Committee questioned how it was possible to remove a garage, but not lose any parking space. RNO, but comment on parking.

121.5 T/16/79261 – 6 Bishops Court – Fell one Norway Spruce – Cllr Francis had visited the site and spoken to a neighbour who was concerned over potential loss of privacy. The Committee noted that although the application mentions “supporting information”, yet again this does not appear to have been supplied. The Committee agreed that as this a healthy tree with a TPO it should not be lost. Object.

121.6 T/16/79271 – Garnier Drive – Crown lift one Oak – The Committee raised no objections to the crown lift but did have concerns about any implications for the longer term – RNO.

*Cllr Winstanley and 1 member of the public arrived at this point*

## **122. Report on recent planning decision**

122.1 F/16/78985 – 6 St Austell Close – Retrospective application regarding a porch and canopy. The Planning Committee raised no objection but the application was refused on grounds of scale.

## **123. Clerk’s Report**

123.1 The Clerk reported that there were no other matters to bring before the Committee.

## **124 Date, time, place and agenda items for next meeting**

124.1 The next meeting will be on Tuesday 8 November 2016 at 7:00pm in the Parish Office, Riverside, Bishopstoke. The office will be open from 6:45pm for viewing of the applications.

124.2 Any agenda items should be submitted in writing to the Clerk by Tuesday 1 November 2016.

## **125 To consider the proposal to move the date of the next meeting to November 15<sup>th</sup>, as has been done with the Finance & General Purposes Committee meeting**

125.1 Proposed Cllr Toher, Seconded Cllr Brown, **RESOLVED** unanimously that the next meeting of the Planning Committee be moved to Tuesday 15 November.

125.2 In light of the change of date, the Clerk advised that agenda items would need to with him by Tuesday 8 November.

## **126 Motion for Confidential Business**

126.1 Proposed Cllr Toher, Seconded Cllr Brown, **RESOLVED** unanimously that in view of the confidential nature of the business about to be discussed relating to possible breaches of planning regulation it is advisable in the public interest that the public be excluded and for the record the business be regarded as confidential.

*Cllr Tidridge arrived at this point.*

*Cllr Moore and 2 members of the public left at this point*

Initial: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**127 Reported Breaches of Developmental Control (Confidential Business)**

127.1 The Clerk reported 2 alleged breaches of development control.

127.2 The Clerk reported 1 concluded investigation into alleged breaches of development control.

127.3 There were no concerns raised by Councillors

*There being no further business, the Chair closed the meeting at 7:25pm*

Chair's Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Clerk's Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_