

Kathy Hawley

From: Robert Wilson [REDACTED]
Sent: 15 June 2015 07:26
To: 'Keith and Kathy Hawley'
Subject: RE: Design Statement
Attachments: Response to DAC comments on Cross Project.doc

Dear Kathy,

(1) The decision to insert a bronze plaque onto compatible limestone rather than to intrude portland stone (which is more suitable for receiving inscriptions) was the result of necessary consultations with the DAC (Diocesan Advisory Committee). [Discussion with the DAC always precedes an application for a faculty. A faculty is the legal permission granted by the diocesan authorities. This is a Grade 2 listed Ancient Monument and the DAC+diocesan registrar are together carrying out the functions of giving planning permission and safeguarding its future.] The DAC thought that the insertion of portland stone would be detrimental to the future of the existing stone and also thought that it was aesthetically undesirable. This prompted a major rethink on our part and a realisation that we too had similar reservations. The issues raised by the DAC and the responses of the PCC are summarised in the attached document. We (Gilbert, John and I) feel that this process of consultation and receiving advice was beneficial to the final plan.

(2) I was also going to suggest that you and I meet and hammer out the details of what goes on the plaque but things are really stacking up for me this week and I hope you will not mind our leaving it until next week. I could manage any time on Tuesday 23rd or Wednesday 24th.

See you on Thursday for the photo!

Best wishes,

Robert

From: Keith and Kathy Hawley [REDACTED]
Sent: 15 June 2015 06:25
To: Robert Wilson
Subject: Design Statement

Dear Robert

I have read the design statement in detail and think it was an excellent summary of the process. Can you clarify in a few sentences the process of the change for putting names on a bronze plaque. I remember the need for this since the stone was not suitable for inscription. but not the formal process. This is in case I am asked for the official decision on this aspect of the work at the Parish Council Meeting on Wednesday.

I have received an e-mail from the my designated Lottery Link about the process of tenders we used but all is very OK with them. I'll fill you in with the details when we next meet.

Given Mark is away for 3 weeks can you and I meet re the names to go on the cross? Let me know when is a good time for you.

I have also received a cheque for £200 from Christ^{church} and I have sent letter of thanks to the committee.

I am in touch with Robert Palmer on various things at the moment and he send his best wishes to you.

Kathy

Kathy Hawley

From: Keith and Kathy Hawley [REDACTED]
Sent: 12 June 2015 08:28
To: kathyhawley [REDACTED]
Subject: Fw: Village Cross to War Memorial

Categories: Green Category

----- Original Message -----

From: [Robert Wilson](#)
To: 'Keith and Kathy Hawley'
Cc: [mike.mount](#) [REDACTED]; 'John Cook'; 'Emma Pennington'; 'John Guest'
Sent: Wednesday, June 10, 2015 7:41 PM
Subject: Village Cross to War Memorial

Dear Kathy et al,

I had a helpful talk with John Guest this evening and, as I anticipated, he is not expecting to go beyond his own estimate of £12,560. (Sorry about the extra £60 in the estimate...I had somehow missed that!) Unless there is some absolutely unforeseen need, the £2,000 we suggested for contingency will not be used. If we can provide him with the right kind of document/certificate, he will not charge VAT. [Mike, are you familiar with this?] Otherwise we shall have to pay VAT and then claim it back. In his tendering bid, he has separated out the various elements of the work and the separate element of £3,350 for foundation work can be maintained in the final Invoice.

John has been committed for some months now to a sequence of jobs that he estimates will take him to the end of August. So he has pencilled in Monday 31st August as the start date for work in Cuddesdon. He expects it will all take 3 weeks and be over before the end of September. He will also ensure that the grass on The Green is adequately protected and he will make good the current site of the cross in the churchyard.

So it all looks good and we can go ahead with recording all aspects of the project when the time comes.

When you have finalised the list of names for the plaque, we shall set about costing/designing that.

All good wishes,

Robert
Robert Wilson

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]