

# **NEWBOLD PACEY AND ASHORNE PARISH COUNCIL**

## **MINUTES OF PLANNING MEETING HELD ON 28<sup>th</sup> May 2014**

**PRESENT: Councillors:** S Bolton, M Boardman,  
F Kishor, A Marshall, C Pate

**District Councillors:**

**County Councillors:**

**Clerk:** P Routly  
and 2 members of the public

### **1. Public Participation**

Mr Boorman a neighbour at Cob House spoke and raised a number of issues, and stated he was objecting, he agreed to email a copy of his SDC letter to the Clerk.

### **2. Declarations of interest**

None.

### **3. Apologies for absence**

Cllr Cooper.

### **4. Planning**

#### **a. New Applications**

- i) 14/00225/FUL14/00962/FUL Erection of single storey side extension to form conservatory and utility room. The Cottage, Newbold Pacey, Warwick, CV35 9DP

After hearing the issues raised by Mr Boorman, the Cllrs discussed the issues and voted unanimously to object and send the following response:-

The Parish Council Objects to this application for the following reasons:-

- 1) The modern glass conservatory is not in keeping with SDC design rules for barn conversions especially in a conservation area
- 2) The bulk and mass is represents an increase in footprint of circ 70%, again in-appropriate especially when permitted development rights have been removed to prevent this type of expansion

- 3) The plans show the conservatory built right up to the party line with no detail on how maintenance of the existing roof or windows may be conducted.
- 4) There are no details about what the additional space is to be used for, there are confusing references to a bedroom etc.
- 5) As pointed out in response to a previous application the Parish Council believes the premises are being used as a commercial skin clinic , and this development could relate to that business expansion . There has never been a change of use application, and site access is poor. The skin clinic details can be found on google - <http://www.placesinuk.net/summers-skin-clinic>
- 6) The development is over bearing to the neighbours only 7m away and they could be overlooked .
- 7) There will be significant light and noise pollution effecting neighbours.
- 8) There are errors in the plans elations contradict, the conservatory appears to extend further than possible.

In conclusion this application should be refused or go to Planning Committee for review.

*Clerk to send response to SDC.*

There being no further business the meeting closed at 8.20pm.