FISKERTON-CUM-MORTON PARISH COUNCIL

MINUTES OF THE VIRTUAL FULL COUNCIL MEETING HELD 16th NOVEMBER 2020 at 7.00pm The meeting was recorded

IN ATTENDANCE

Cllrs. R.Lancaster, S.Holloway, L.Moakes, H.Gibbins, B.Magrath, J.Larwood & A.Price Also in attendance NCC Cllr. S.Saddington, N & S D C Cllr. R.Blaney & Clerk L.Holland plus one member of the public.

1. Apologies

None all present.

- 2. Declaration of Interests Nothing to report.
- 3. Minutes of the meeting held 19th October 2020

Minutes of the meetings held 19th October 2020 were approved and signed.

- 4. Clerk's Update
 - Update on LIS application for street lighting near the station -to date no further update.
 - Update re camper vans at Riverside car park, fishing from the road & absence of posts to prevent access to grassed area by motor vehicles – response from NCC/VIA. Clerk read the response from VIA – agreed ClIrs. RL & JL to meet with VIA.
 - Update re donation offered by Fishing Club receipt noted.
 - Update on request for another Councillor to be added as editor on FCM Facebook (if any). Clerk to write to administrators of the account.
 - F4RN had confirmed they will suspend the account & not request any further payments until requested otherwise.
 - OVO solar panel meter readings should only be submitted quarterly therefore November reading not accepted & meter to be read in December & submitted.

5. To review on going response to coronavirus -

- Adhere to national guidelines.
- Arthur Radford Centre closed for sports but play area is open subject to coronavirus guidelines.
- Agreed poster required giving relevant phone number for people requiring assistance. AP to draft & copy to other Cllrs. for noticeboards & online.

6. Reports from County & District Councillors

County Cllr. S.Saddington had confirmed the following:

- Network Rail meeting scheduled for 19th Nov. 2020.
- Request made to ensure Marlock Close note resurfaced until after Cadent had undertaken their work.
- New footpath towards Fiskerton level crossing had been inspected and deemed in order. Councillors felt this was not the case therefore Cllr. SS requested photos of the vegetation growing through which would be forwarded to VIA.

N & S D C Cllr. R.Blaney confirmed the following:

• 2 planning applications for FCM to consider (refer to Planning agenda item)

CM/20/11/02

FISKERTON-CUM-MORTON PARISH COUNCIL

MINUTES OF THE VIRTUAL FULL COUNCIL MEETING HELD 16th NOVEMBER 2020 at 7.00pm Continued.....

- Members of the Public Question & Answers session Member of public explained moving to area shortly. Cllrs. welcomed the prospective resident & answered a query re broadband in the village.
- 8. Planning Applications & N & S D C decisions.
 - In line with tables in Appendix 'A'.
- 9. Payments for approval

Payments (Refer to appendix 'A') for payments £588.00 noting a payment of £300.00 (previously authorised) made to SGA had been made in November.

Clerk presented budget monitoring along with Bank reconciliation as at 31st October 2020.

YTD Income £11,769.30 Expenditure £13,722.35; Balance £68,699.17 as at 31st October 2020.

Resolved to approve payments in line with Appendix 'A' to value of £588.00, budget monitoring & bank reconciliation as at 31st October 2020

 To consider the proposed precept 2021/2022; including future funding for maintenance of village green. – following a brief discussion on proposed budget agreed to address future VG maintenance costs by increasing precept by £170 over next 10 years. Agreed to increase Clerk's salary by 1 spinal column points for next 2 yrs. Ie. 1st April 2021 & 2022. Precept figure to be formally agreed at next meeting.

10. Update from Councillors on Christmas card & message to residents project. 372 cards printed. Each child who had contributed a design to receive a copy of their own card. LM to order the cards.

News letter drafted for including with cards.

Christmas Lights on VG to be up 29/30 November. Residents & local electrician volunteered to assist with decorating tree & installing power cable for lights. Other residents organising a walk around villages to look at decorated homes all in line with social distancing & COVID rules.

- **11. Update from Councillors on development of a Community Support Hub.** Cllrs. AP & BM liaising with LB (representative of Volunteer Support group).
- 12. Update from Councillor on electronic speed sign on Station Road including speed signs & traffic on Main Street, Fiskerton
 - Cllrs. RL & JL to discuss with VIA Representative at their meeting.
- 13. General maintenance of the Parish -
 - report from Councillor JL VG hedge cut by JL not contractor
 - Footpaths update Councillor BM ongoing. FP2 kissing gate ideal from Gorsey Lane left towards Bleasby would open up a circular walk for all including less able bodied residents. JL ordered kissing gate.
 - Bottom Green Lane opp. PO metal railing falling over.

 HG reported Morton sign had been knocked over by a vehicle but had been reported on MyNotts app.

continued.....

CM/20/11/03

FISKERTON-CUM-MORTON PARISH COUNCIL

MINUTES OF THE VIRTUAL FULL COUNCIL MEETING HELD 16th NOVEMBER 2020 at 7.00pm Continued.....

14. Correspondence

- Inspector Sutton update.
- VIA EM Temporary road/level crossing closure 23:00 10th December 06:00 11th December
- National Association of Local Councils consultation on Standards Matter deadline 4th December 2020.
- Email re Affordable Housing noting Clerk responded referring to Neighbourhood Plan – Councillors felt the NHP adequately addressed the issues.
- Email requesting poppies for street lighting columns along with metal soldiers. Clerk responded by confirming hopefully they will be available in 2021.
- NottsALC Social media training 24th November 2020.
- VIA EM 2 way traffic signals Station Road, Fiskerton 17th 20th Nov. 2020.
- Annual Play area inspection report defer to next meeting
- •

Agenda items for next meeting:

- Play area inspection report
- 15. Date of next meeting

14th December 2020 – Due to coronavirus future meetings virtual meeting to be held & invitation link will appear on agenda. Noting Approved commence 7pm

SIGNED.....

DATE.....

Meeting ended 20.35pm

APPENDIX ' A'

PLANNING APPLICATIONS FOR CONSIDERATION:

PLAN REF. NO.	ADDRESS	DETAILS	F-c-M Decision
20/02118/HOUSE	Bay Tree Cottage, Middle Lane, Fiskerton	Alterations including part demolition and erection of two storey side extensions	Unanimously supported due to it meeting the criteria set out In FCM 1 'Residential Development' , in particular section D which refers to the Neighbourhood Profile.
20/02162/HOUSE	Clairedale House, Gravelly Lane, Fiskerton	Retention of domestic outbuilding and increase in height of boundary fence	Objected to due to it not meeting criteria in Neighbourhood Plan Refer to appendix for full explanation.

NEWARK & SHERWOOD DECISIONS:

PLAN REF. NO.	ADDRESS	DETAILS	N & S D C Decision
20/01819/TWCA	River Cottage, Main Street,	Fell 1 Sycamore Tree	No objections
	Morton		
20/01886/HOUSE	Hunters Lodge, Station	Attic conversion with	Granted
	Lane, Fiskerton	Catslide Style Roof &	
		internal remodelling	

Payments for authorising 16th November 2020

PAYEE	DETAILS	PAYMENT	£
		DETAILS	
1.L.Holland	Nov. Salary, home allwce.	online	241.32
2.HMRC	Nov. tax deductions	online	53.20
3. Community Heartbeat	New battery for Morton Defibrillator	online	282.00

4. British Gas	VG meter	DD	11.48
TOTAL			588.00

EXPLANATION TO OBJECTION RE 20/0162/HOUSE CLAIREDALE HOUSE, GRAVELLY LANE.

Planning application requesting permission for the "retention of a domestic outbuilding & increase in height of boundary fence "

This application has been considered in line with policy criteria set out in the Fiskerton-cum-Morton Neighbourhood Plan which was supported by most of those residents who supported it in the Referendum. In December 2019.

The Parish Council unanimously oppose this application for the following reasons:

FCM1: RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT

1) Residential development proposals will be supported within the Built Up Area Boundaries of the villages (as shown in Policy Map 1.1 and Policy Map 1.2), provided that <u>all</u> of the following criteria are met:

a) Scale: new housing proposals should be small in scale, and should be of a density consistent with the character of the neighbouring area;

It is our view that this application does not meet the criteria required in FCM 1 (1) and believe it applies to all buildings and structures within the curtilage of a property.

d) Character: Development proposals will be supported where they do not have a detrimental impact on the Character of the Parish, as detailed in the Fiskerton cum Morton Neighbourhood Profile, and instead contribute to maintaining and enhancing the existing character of the villages, in line with Policy FCM5: Character and Design.

It is our view that this application does not meet the criteria required in FCM1(d).

FCM5: CHARACTER AND DESIGN POLICY

- Developments will be supported provided that their design and specifications complement the established character of the villages as described in the Fiskerton cum Morton Neighbourhood Profile, taking particular account of:
- b) the ways in which the overall form, scale, massing, layout and proportions of new buildings and extensions relate to neighbouring buildings and impact on the character and appearance of the villages as a whole;

It is our view that the scale of this outbuilding is too large both in length and height in relation to neighbouring bungalows, on either side. It leaves very little amenity land. Also, the height of the fence will negatively impact upon adjacent properties.

b) the visual impact of materials used for external walls and roofs, and the desirability of selecting these from a locally distinctive palette; and

c) the ways in which the development impacts on designated, non-designated heritage assets and historic assets of local significance, as described in Policy FCM10; and

d) the visual importance of defining boundaries - particularly boundaries between public and private realms - in ways that are consistent with the mixture of hedges and brick walls that traditionally contribute to the character and distinctiveness of the villages; and,
e) the importance of retaining existing mature trees, hedgerows and verges, and to include in new development appropriate landscaping solutions to mitigate visual impact, preferably using native species; and,

It is our view that whilst the original plan for the house shows trees this has not happened because this is where the new outbuilding has been built. It therefore does not meet the criteria set out in FCM 5 (1e).

f) the desirability of echoing and interpreting locally distinctive architecture and building elements of traditional buildings and heritage assets in the design and construction of new buildings and structures; and,

It is our view that this application is within the immediate vicinity of one listed building and four unlisted buildings of local interest (2002).

g) the impact of new buildings and structures on the setting of the villages within the wider landscape.

2) Where appropriate, applicants should explain how these issues have been taken into account in the design of developments for which planning permission is sought.

It is our view that this application will have a detrimental impact upon the setting of the village within the wider landscape from all viewing angles.

3.6 FCM6 VIEWS AND VISTAS

Compliance with NPPF 3.6.1. It is widely recognised that certain views and vistas are key in defining the character of a settlement:

In our opinion such views include those of the countryside surrounding a settlement as much as views towards a village or from within it.

FCM6: VIEWS AND VISTAS

1) New development should not have a detrimental impact on the character and appearance of the Conservation Areas and the rural landscape setting of the villages. For Fiskerton, this includes the riverside setting of the village.

It is our view that this application is immediately adjacent to the Conservation Area and therefore has a detrimental impact on the character and appearance of the Conservation Area and rural setting of the village. This is particularly exacerbated if the height of the boundary fence id increased as requested.

Summary

It is our opinion that the scale and appearance of this outbuilding which as far as can be ascertained is a great deal larger than the previous outbuilding along with the proposed height of the fence do not meet the criteria set out in the Neighbourhood Plan and cannot therefore supported by the Parish Council.