

CLIFFSEND PARISH COUNCIL

Minutes of the Finance & General Purposes Committee Meeting held on 14 March 2019

Present: Councillors Blaydes (Chairman), Hellyer, Lyon, and Searle

NB Councillor Hovenden left the tables where the Councillors were seated and sat in the body of the hall in order to signify that she was not present at this meeting.

Also Present: Anne Griffiths (Clerk/RFO), Councillors Rogers and Townend (TDC) and 10 residents

The meeting opened at 19.15.

1. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies for absence had been received from Councillor Francis-Cable who was working.

2. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

No Declarations were disclosed.

3. MINUTES OF MEETING ON 14 FEBRUARY 2019

Acceptance of the Minutes was proposed by Councillor Blaydes, seconded by Councillor Searle, all in favour. **The Minutes were thereby approved and signed by the Chairman.**

4. MATTERS ARISING

There were no matters arising other than those which appeared later on the Agenda.

5. REPORTS

i. MEADOW REPORT

Councillor Searle suggested that this be deferred to the main meeting in order that Councillor Hovenden might take part in the discussion about the new memorial tree.

ii. NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN REPORT

Due to Councillor Francis-Cable's absence, the matter was deferred to the next meeting.

iii. EMERGENCY WORKING PARTY

Information from Kent Fire and Rescue is still awaited.

iv. PLANNING REPORT

The planning meeting immediately prior to this meeting had considered four applications:

a. F/TH/18/1059 - The Sportsman

It was agreed by four votes in favour with one against that the Council will submit objections to the Planning Department on the basis of the nature of the proposed buildings and the perceived over development of the site.

b. FH/TH/19/0168 - 5 Primrose Way

The Council agreed unanimously not to oppose the application.

c. FH/TH/19/0214 - 22 Foads Hill

The Council agreed unanimously to oppose the application as the proposal was perceived to constitute overdevelopment of the site.

d. FH/TH/19/0271 - 27 Earlsmead Crescent

The Council agreed unanimously not to oppose the application as there were no major planning objections. However, they suggested that the appearance of the extension would be improved if the flat roofing to the side and rear were replaced by pitched roofing

6. ANY OTHER BUSINESS

No matters were raised.

The meeting closed at 19.27.