### BISHOPSTOKE PARISH COUNCIL

## Minutes of a Meeting of the Planning Committee held in the Parish Office, Riverside, Bishopstoke commencing at 7.00pm on 13 September 2016

**Present:** Cllrs Toher (Chair), Dean, Francis and Greenwood. Also present Cllr Parker-Jones

(from 82.1).

**In Attendance:** Mr D Hillier-Wheal

**Public Session** 

PLAN\_1617\_M09/

#### 86. Apologies for Absence

86.1 Cllr Brown (work) and Cllr Thornton (work)

# 87. To adopt, as a true record, the Minutes of the Planning Committee meeting held on 09 August 2016

87.1 Proposed Cllr Francis, Seconded Cllr Dean, **RESOLVED** with Cllr Greenwood abstaining (absent) that the minutes of the Planning Committee meeting held on 09 August be accepted as a true record.

#### 88. To consider Matters Arising from the above Minutes

- 88.1 Item 81.1 The Clerk reported that there were currently no revised plans listed on the Planning Portal
- 88.2 Item 81.4 The Clerk reported that, following investigations, the only reported tree problem currently is with Ash dieback. There appears to be no serious documented issue amongst the Oak Tree population within Bishopstoke.
- 88.3 Item 82 Cllr Francis reported that she had been informed that application F/16/78959 has now been withdrawn. The Clerk then confirmed this at the meeting.
- 88.4 Item 82.2 The Clerk provided contact details for the enforcement officer as requested.

### 89. Declarations of Interest and Requests for Dispensations

89.1 None declared or sought.

#### 90. Consideration of Planning Applications

- $90.1 \quad A/16/79000$  Stoke Park Farm Display of five 6m high flags and poles and four 1.5m high free-standing signs Object on the grounds that the height of the flags and poles is excessive and would provide a distraction for road users that could lead to accidents.
- 90.2 F/16/79008 Foresters Arms Change of use from public house (A4) to residential dwelling (C3), demolition of existing side and rear additions, and front porch, and construction of detached triple garage with roof accommodation to rear. RNO, but with comments asking for a restriction to be added to prevent excessive traffic movements from potential visiting users of the above garage office space. The Clerk was requested to ask whether the Council would provide convex road safety mirrors opposite the end of Stoke Common Road.

Initial:	Date:

#### **Action: Clerk**

90.3 F/16/78985 – 6 St Austell Close – Retention of single storey front extension – RNO.

Cllr Parker-Jones arrived at this point

#### To consider the Committee's response to communications from a resident

- 91.1 The Committee was responding to complaints raised by a resident regarding the Breach Lane development specifically, and other developments generally. The Committee noted that at the time of the original outline planning application (O/13/72892) Bishopstoke Parish Council had submitted an objection, the text of which is included with these minutes. In addition, the Committee noted the various restrictions that had been placed on the development, including prevention of mud and dirt getting on to the highway.
- 91.2 With regard to the development at the Cemetery, the complaint that a road sign placed by developers was illegal was not thought to be accurate on the grounds that the roads are unadopted.
- 91.3 Cllr Parker-Jones stated that she had objected to the bridleway diversion at the Cemetery with both Eastleigh Borough Council and Hampshire County Council. Cllr Parker-Jones stated that HCC were going to have a look at the issue, but that they had informed her that the decision was for EBC to make.
- 91.4 The Committee also noted that Bishopstoke Parish Council is a statutory consultee only, meaning that it has the right to look at and comment on every planning application within the Parish. but no actual power to prevent anything. Council objections will be considered by the planning authority (Eastleigh Borough Council) but the authority are not required to accept them.
- 91.5 The Clerk was requested to write to the resident detailing the Committee's response to the communication received.

#### **Action: Clerk**

#### 92. Report on recent planning decision

- 92.1 F/16/78622 34 St Margarets Road Single storey rear extension RNO Permitted
- 92.2 F/16/78700 100 Fair Oak Road Single storey rear extension with log burner to replace existing conservatory - RNO - Permitted
- 92.3 T/16/78609 113 Templecombe Way Prune and cut back 1 Ash and 1 Field Maple tree by up to 3m – RNO – Consent
- 92.4 F/16/78738 89 Edward Avenue Single storey side and rear extension and porch RNO Permitted
- 92.5 C/16/78785 69-73 Bishopstoke Road Add first floor office use and external stairs RNO with comments - Refused
- 92.6 F/16/78667 11 East Drive Single storey and two storey rear extension RNO, noting that the footprint of the dwelling would almost double and this may set a precedent – Permitted
- 92.7 F/16/78775 3 Rogers Close Single storey extension to rear including attached garage and hobby room - RNO - Permitted
- 92.8 F/16/78443 73 Stoke Park Road Construction of single storey rear extension and detached garage, following removal of existing – RNO – Permitted
- 92.9 F/16/78860 = 167 Underwood Road = Rear conservatory and side conservatory Pe

				Initial:	Date:
erm	itted				
2.9	F/10//8800 - 10/	Underwood Road	– Rear conservatory and	side conservatory	/ – KNO –

- 92.10 F/16/78907 35 Oakgrove Road Erection of four bedroom dwelling, following demolition of existing bungalow RNO with comments echoing previous refusal of similar plans (F/15/76112) Refused
- 92.11 T/16/78892 4 Garnier Drive Fell 1 Holm Oak to rear Object as this is a healthy TPO tree and the dwelling has only been there for a year or so. Also there is no engineers report Consent
- 92.12 F/16/78916 4 Beaver Drive Two storey side extension to include integral garage & single storey rear extension RNO Permitted
- $92.13\ T/16/78950 22\ Bishops\ Court Fell\ 1\ Tulip\ tree,\ crown\ lift\ 1\ Holly\ by\ 20\%\ and\ crown\ thin\ 1\ Laurel\ by\ 50\%\ -\ Object\ -\ Refuse$

#### 93. Clerk's Report

93.1 The Clerk reported that the Parish Council has received notification that one planning application has been appealed. The appeal relates to 13 West Horton Lane.

#### 94 Date, time, place and agenda items for next meeting

- 94.1 The next meeting will be on Tuesday 27 September 2016 at 7:00pm in the Parish Office, Riverside, Bishopstoke.
- 94.2 Any agenda items should be submitted in writing to the Clerk by Tuesday 20 September 2016.

#### 95 Motion for Confidential Business

95.1 Proposed Cllr Toher, Seconded Cllr Greenwood, **RESOLVED** unanimously that in view of the confidential nature of the business about to be discussed relating to possible breaches of planning regulation it is advisable in the public interest that the public be excluded and for the record the business be regarded as confidential.

#### 96 Reported Breaches of Developmental Control (Confidential Business)

- 96.1 The Clerk reported on three alleged breaches of Developmental Control
- 96.2 The Clerk reported on two concluded investigations into alleged breaches of Developmental Control.

There being no further business, the Chair closed the meeting at 7:28pm

Chair's Signature: _	 	Date:
Clerk's Signature: _	 	Date: