

BISHOPSTOKE PARISH COUNCIL

Minutes of a Meeting of the Planning Committee held in the Parish Office, Riverside, Bishopstoke commencing at 7.00pm on 9 January 2018

Present: Cllrs Greenwood (Chair), Brown, Dean, Francis, Thornton, Tidridge and Toher

In Attendance: Mr D Hillier-Wheal (Clerk to Bishopstoke Parish Council)
Cllr Parker-Jones

Public Attendance: 1 member of the public was present

PLAN_1718_M15/

Public Session

141 Apologies for Absence

141.1 All members of the Committee were present.

142 To adopt as a true record, and sign, the Minutes of the Planning Committee meeting held on 12 December 2017

142.1 The Minutes of the above meeting had been circulated prior to the meeting.

142.2 Proposed Cllr Toher, Seconded Cllr Brown, **RESOLVED** unanimously that the minutes of the Planning Committee meeting held on 12 December 2017 be accepted as a true record.

143 To consider Matters Arising from the above Minutes

143.1 Cllr Toher asked if the Clerk could once again approach the Borough Council with regard to finding out the reasons that Planning Application A/16/79559 was permitted.

144 Declarations of Interest and Requests for Dispensations

144.1 None declared or requested.

145 Consideration of Planning Applications

145.1 H/17/81780 – 35 Haig Road – Two storey rear extension, integral garage and alteration to fenestration – The Committee agreed to Raise No Objection (RNO) to the application.

146 Report on recent planning decision

146.1 F/17/80188 – Land to the rear of 86 Edward Avenue – erection of 9 dwellings, 6 three bed and 3 four bed houses with vehicular access, parking, landscaping and refuse storage - a resident spoke to raise issues with the application, specifically regarding the proximity of trees to plots 5-9, and to a lesser extent plots 1-4. He believed that new residents would rapidly request to have the trees removed. Cllr discussion confirmed all of the objections previously raised on this application, and also raised additional concerns regarding the limited number of visitor parking spaces, the problems flagged by Southern Water regarding the long-term maintenance of the SUDS, the lack of work done regarding land contamination, the housing being neither what is needed by Bishopstoke as a whole, nor in keeping with the character of the local housing, and the question of whether there is a sufficient buffer between the development and the Cemetery extension.

Initial: _____ Date: _____

Cllrs also raised the question of why the Forestry Commission was not being consulted, and the issue of road safety was highlighted several times, with major concerns regarding having three roads in such close proximity on a blind bend. There has reportedly been one near miss in the last few months already. Finally, it was noted that if the application is permitted, arrangements would need to be made to ensure that interments are not disturbed – EBC permitted the application.

Cllr Thornton stated that he had received comments from a resident concerned that one of plots has been arranged such that the house overlooks her property. The resident wanted to know why the house couldn't be arranged the other way around. Cllrs wanted to know why the decision had not been taken at the Local Area Committee. The Clerk was requested to ask Cllr Mignot and the Planning Officers what the criteria are for an application to be sent to the LAC.

Action: Clerk

146.2 T/17/81710 – The Rectory, 10 Stoke Park Road – Reduce branches of 1 Holm Oak by 3m – The Committee agreed to RNO to the application – EBC consented to the application.

146.3 T/17/81766 - 6 Bishops Court – crown reduce lowest limb of 1 Chestnut by 2.5m over conservatory, reduce remaining canopy by 1.5m – The Committee agreed to RNO to the application – EBC consented to the application.

146.4 H/17/81684 - 17 Asford Grove – Single storey extension and front porch – The Committee agreed to Raise No Objection (RNO) to the application – EBC permitted the application.

146.5 H/17/81800 - 6 Saville Close – Single storey side extension – The Committee agreed to RNO to the application – EBC permitted the application.

146.6 F/17/81829 - 1 Bishopstoke Park – Construction of additional footways to serve Garnier Drive and Walter Lane – The Committee noted that the application states that Bishopstoke Park is on Church Lane, when in fact it is sited on Church Road. The Committee also wished to know whether there is an obligation on anyone to place signs marking out permitted footpaths. The Clerk informed the Committee that this is the responsibility of Hampshire Highways. The Committee agreed to RNO to the application – This application was withdrawn.

147 Clerk's Report

147.1 The Clerk stated he had nothing further to add.

148 Date, time, place and agenda items for next meeting

148.1 The next meeting will be on Tuesday 23 January 2018, at 7:00pm. The doors will be open at 6:45pm for viewing of applications.

148.2 Any agenda items should be submitted in writing to the Clerk at least 7 days before the meeting.

149 Motion for Confidential Business

149.1 Proposed Cllr Greenwood, Seconded Cllr Toher, **RESOLVED** unanimously that in view of the confidential nature of the business about to be discussed relating to possible breaches of planning regulation it is advisable in the public interest that the public be excluded and for the record the business be regarded as confidential.

150 Reported Breaches of Development Control (Confidential Business)

150.1 The Clerk reported one new alleged breach of Development Control.

150.2 The Clerk reported one concluded breach of Development Control.

150.3 Councillors reported one development control issue

Action: Clerk

150.4 The Clerk reported residents' concerns in St Margaret's Road.

Action: Clerk

There being no further business, the Chair closed the meeting at 7.20pm

DRAFT

Chair's Signature: _____ Date: _____

Clerk's Signature: _____ Date: _____