



**MILBORNE ST ANDREW NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN
WORKING PARTY
MINUTES**

**Wednesday 12th Dec 2018
Members dwelling – 7.30pm**

No. 49

1. Present: Sue Cherry SG, Ronald Hogg RH, Michael Hopper MH, Dave Gould, Sue Gould SG, Richard Macnair RM, Pam Shults PS and Jo Witherden JW
2. Apologies NONE
3. Minutes of Meeting No.48 were agreed
4. Matters arising from meeting not covered by the Agenda – JW commented that NPG page in Milborne Reporter was excellent, this, 'A Pictorial Journey' was printed in colour, courtesy of the MSA Reporter team.
5. Constitution – There was one declaration of Interest based on this Agenda. MH – Homefield, as home abutts one of the near boundaries.
6. Homefield – review of most important areas to be included in a report, as requested by the Milborne PC, to be sent in directly on behalf of PC, cc to Parish Clerk (agreed at the last PC meeting). JW to assemble the report. Key points agreed (in no particular order):
 - a. Check reports for obvious errors and mention if applicable
 - b. Access arrangements inadequate – crossing POS, failing to reduce speeds or support 'street view' in keeping with the historic character of the village
 - c. Traffic management – a suggesting crossing at Crown House is not same as the NP identified solution and would not be as effective
 - d. Concerns over discharging sewage (to north) and site drainage – noted holding objection regarding flood planning
 - e. Not the preferred site as chosen by the residents
 - f. Archeology, there are barrows historically shown, and also the possibility of other notable remains
 - g. Green space shown not a suitable SANG – noted at present Natural England object about the lack of SANG for all sites currently in the planning process
 - h. The number of dwellings exceed the need in the village
 - i. Dwelling mix shown does not include many one bedroom properties that are private AND affordable
 - j. Impact on views (ie from the site footpaths, from The Grove, Coles Lane, setting of Gould farmhouse and church would be compromised.
 - k. Parking – much of the parking is inline and therefore not in line with Neighbourhood Plan.
 - l. Shown is provision of community land for a building. This is not same as building for a new preschool and an adaptable building suitable eventually for a GP surgery.
 - m. There are no employment opportunities proposed, although the NP showed a need.



7. Huntley Down – Appeal submission
 - a. The inspector will look at existing information, and will not be aware of further research or the present position of the NP. On behalf of the Parish Council, JW to submit further information to update on the NP and housing land supply. Copy to be sent to the Parish Clerk (agreed at the last PC meeting).
8. Camelco – contacted for an update. They state that ‘they are nearly there’, and hope to sort out SANG before the application is submitted (unlike the other two planning applications)
9. Review of NP submission
 - a. NE consultation – waiting to be sure NE are happy. JW to reword in a few places, and check with NE to get things moving (given 6+ weeks delay).
 - b. AECOM HRA – JW to contact once NE have responded to (a)
 - c. AECOM traffic report – JW to sort with Andrew Beard to ensure ready for examination.
10. Any Other Business – no updates on Blandford Hill North application. Reporter and Facebook reports to be considered when we have significant further information.
11. Date of (and Items for) Next Meeting – to be arranged as needed, agreed by team that any decisions about documentation can be made by email.