

CHIDEOCK PARISH COUNCIL

Chideock@dorset-aptc.gov.uk

Chideockpc.org.uk

Chair: Cllr Vanessa Glenn, 6 Winniford Close, Chideock, DT6 6SA 01 297 480810

Clerk: Miss Sal Robinson, 60 North Allington, Bridport, DT6 5DY 01 308 426327

18 June 2021

Re: Request to review the original HE decision to refuse a pedestrian crossing at the western end of Chideock on the A35 as part of the agreed outcomes from the Safety and Severance report. (2019).

Chideock Parish Council requests a review of the decision by HE to refuse a light-controlled pedestrian crossing at the western end of Main Street. This is for 2 reasons: -

- HE intends to bring in a permanent change to the speed limit on Chideock Hill
- WSP has asked Chideock Parish Council to raise further issues to be considered in the upcoming route safety treatment study along the A35 between Charmouth Roundabout and Yellowham Hill

The original reasons given by HE for rejecting a western light-controlled pedestrian crossing are set out in the relevant extract below from the WSP Safety and Severance Report Jan 2019 (numbered rather than bullets points);

5.1.13. The feasibility of a new traffic signal-controlled pedestrian crossing facility on the A35 at the western end of the village has also been considered.

This has been discounted at this stage for the following reasons:

1. Due to the downhill (eastbound) of the Chideock hill/gradient of the A35 in this location, it is considered that the provision of a signalised crossing facility located in the vicinity of the existing uncontrolled crossing could increase the risk of rear shunt collisions on the downhill approach to the traffic signals;

- The crossing does not need to be at the Village Hall but could be further to the east
- Advance signage at the top of Chideock Hill on the eastbound carriage way, combined with speed enforcement(average speed cameras) on Chideock Hill will address this issue.
- A crossing further East will be greatly used by many coming from north of the A35, including many tourists ,children and the elderly as this is the main safer foot route to Seatown and the beach.

- 2. The downhill approach to a signalised crossing facility could increase the risk of vehicles failing to stop in time at the signals, overshooting the stop line road markings, and potentially collide with a pedestrian crossing the road;**
 - As above.
 - In addition, ensuring, through DVSA vehicle checks, that HGVs are compliant with the law including tonnage will assist in improving safety.

- 3. Evidence of Personal Injury Collisions involving vehicles losing control on the A35 eastbound when travelling downhill at the western end of the village (see Section 3.5 of this report);**
 - The problem is not just Personal Injury Collisions.
 - In reality there are numerous occasions when vehicles (which may be possibly overloaded) fail to use their brakes early enough (because they do not abide by the speed limit), thus emitting noxious fumes from their tyres and brakes (PM2.5) - there have been occasions when vehicle tyres have caught fire in the village or have been heavily smoking. These types of events are as serious as one-off catastrophic events because they cause long term health issues for village residents.
 - Early speed reduction with good early signage of the crossing will deal with this issue.

- 4. Existing footway widths are inadequate to accommodate adequate pedestrian crossing 'landing areas and traffic signal control equipment (and there is no scope to narrow the carriageway width of the A35 in order to widen the footway widths);**
 - The Parish Council suggests that if the crossing were to be sited further east this may not be a problem.

- 5. Potential impact on the existing bus stops at the western end of the village (located adjacent to the existing uncontrolled crossing facility), and stationary buses at a bus stop could obstruct visibility at an adjacent traffic signal-controlled crossing facility;**
 - If the pedestrian crossing was further to the east then this would not be an issue.
 - The use of such a crossing is unlikely to create traffic congestion due to frequency of use. although it will in all probability be used more than the Morcombelake second crossing recently put in by HE.
 - The existing light controlled pedestrian crossing at the centre of the village is close to 2 bus stops – obscuring of the lights by buses was obviously not considered by HE to be an issue,

6. Impact of street lighting upgrade (required at a signalised crossing facility) on the potentially environmentally sensitive area and on residents living adjacent to a signalised crossing facility;

- If the crossing was further east it would be within the area where there is street lighting.

7. Traffic queues on the A35 eastbound approach to a signalised crossing facility could extend back past the existing escape lane on the downhill approach to the village;

- The Parish Council strongly suggests that the current levels of congestion lead to daily tailbacks on this section of the A35 throughout the day which can be regularly evidenced on the AA traffic site.
- The flow of traffic may be important to HE but a pedestrian crossing will promote a feeling of safety and security in this village, as even when the traffic is slow it will not stop to let the anyone, let alone the elderly, children, and the disabled cross the road.

8. Various statutory undertakers located on the A35 in the vicinity of the location of the existing uncontrolled pedestrian crossing facility (i.e., SGN low pressure gas mains, BT Openreach cables, and Wessex Water distribution mains).

- This is clearly a problem along the whole length of the A35 where villages intersect with the road.
- This reflects the fact that this road was not designed to carry such heavy loads of traffic and that, when services were laid, they followed the easiest route.
- However, this should not preclude the safety of this village being prioritised against the profits of these companies.
- Furthermore, this is an environmental issue and an opportunity to do something different.

In conclusion, Chideock Parish Council strongly requests that HE reconsiders the need for a pedestrian crossing at the western end of Chideock, for the reasons set out above.

We look forward to hearing from you,

Yours sincerely

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Sal Robinson', with a horizontal line drawn underneath it.

Sal Robinson (Miss), Chideock Parish Clerk