## STUDLAND PARISH COUNCIL

Minutes of the Studland Parish Council meeting held on Monday 19 June 2017 at the earlier time of 6.10pm in the Village Hall, Studland. An extended public participation time was scheduled including a presentation from the Middle Beach Consultative Working group.

PRESENT:

Chairman: Cllr Bowyer

Clirs: Boulter, Dyball, Ferguson, Hammond, Bowyer, Smith

**DCIIr:** Dragon **CCIIr:** Brooks

NT Representatives: Clark and Wright

Clerk: Parish Public: 49

## **DRAFT**

Start: 6.27

Present Clirs: Boulter, Dyball, Ferguson, Hammond, Bowyer, Smith

Approx 47 public + 2

1. Apologies Received by; Cllrs Pilgrim and Etherington.

2. Declarations of Interest: None.

3. Public Participation Time:

**3.1.1** - There was a presentation by Nick Boulter of the Middle Beach Consultative Working Group on the current developments of the Working Group (a copy of this can be found on the Studland Parish Council website, or from the Clerk). The Coombe site option met most of the criteria for SPC and conformed to most of the NT criteria and represents a compromise solution for both parties re: relocation of Middle Beach Cafe and associated facilities. NT have moved from their previously strong view that the Middle Beach Cafe and associated services should be located in the car park. This option has not been under consideration for very long and so no plans have yet to be produced and the exact location within the Coombe site has yet to be decided. The National Trust Board of Trustees has agreed to support this option in principle with building work expected to commence from in the Autumn of 2018. Looking towards the future, the Working Group would like to continue to work with the National Trust in relation to other areas such as South Beach and Fort Henry; and would also like to move away from the policy of 'No Active Intervention' to 'Active Intervention'.

**3.1.2 -** There then followed a Question and Answer session re: the proposals of the Coombe option:

NB. This is partly a summary but some questions and answers are verbatim to ensure clarity:

**Question:** How many feet or meters above sea water will the new cafe at the Coombe be above sea level?

**Clir Bowyer:** This has not yet been decided, but we would not support a location that would put the cafe and associated services at risk.

**Clir Boutler:** One of our criteria was longevity; the cafe would need to have a life-span of at least 50 years; and so will need to be of a sufficient elevation to last that period.

Fay Taylor: If the cafe is in the Coombe, there will be more trouble with children and cars.

**Diana Edmonds:** (Middle Beach hut owner) Where is the Coombe?

**Clir Bowyer:** The Coombe is a stream from the Ferry Road to the beach and it moves dependant on the rainfall. The location of the cafe is not going to be in the depths of the Coombe; it will either be to the Northern side or the Southern side of the stream by the Dragon's teeth; and it may be on more than one level. There are not yet any plans on its exact proposed location.

**Clir Hammond:** There are many issues of detail which have yet to be resolved and the concerns about access and congestion etc., will be considered by the architect.

**Nick Knowles:** The location is not much further in land; how is it going to be protected? I am concerned that it will be vulnerable especially if the sea defences at the current Middle Beach site are to be removed.

**Clir Bowyer:** It is difficult to answer that question as we simply do not know at this stage where the cafe will be sited; it could even be sited nearer to where the Old Coastguard hut was sited.

**Clir Dyball:** The maps giving evidence of the changes in mean high water marks over last 152+ years show that the variation in distance between the High water marks is reduced at the Coombe area compared to the existing Middle Beach Cafe site area.

**Nick Knowles:** My main point is that no-one can know what will be the effect of moving the sea defences at the existing area, no-one can foresee what effect this will have on the Coombe area.

**Clir Hammond:** You are raising important concerns and uncertainties and we share your concerns. A final decision won't be made until these and other points have been cleared up.

**Paul Brown (Middle Beach Cafe):** Thank you both sides for all your work; and I hope that you can continue to work together to iron out the issues so that there will be a Beach Cafe and toilets at Middle Beach and as close to the beach as is possible.

**APPLAUSE** 

**Stephen Yeoman:** Are we now saying toilets will remain open until 2019?

**NT Clark**: Yes subject to storms; with addition of emergency measures which would consist of the blocking off of the toilets and the provision of temporary toilets.

**Jeff Wilcox:** Thank you to the working group and I like the solution. There was one point that Nick made at the end that I didn't like and that was when Nick said that the Working Group would continue to work to oppose 'no active management'; not in my name you don't and I wonder what support there is from the community for this stance.

**Nick Boulter**: Sorry not sure I quite understood; to verify under the Shoreline Management Plan there are four possible levels of intervention; (1) 'push the line out' (putting extra sea defences in place), (2) 'hold the line' (this is what Bournemouth and Sandbanks for example are on and that's basically because the SMP is basically determined by what's the value of the properties that are to be defended but when you have a natural environment, it doesn't count), (3) 'Managed Realignment', and (4) 'No Active Intervention'. And so the current designation for Studland is 'Managed Realignment' moving to 'No Active Intervention' and so what that means is; take all sea defences away, and in effect leave it to natural processes, basically ripping up current defences. And so what we are calling for is "Active Management' of the area because we want to make sure we preserve South Beach, we want to preserve Knoll Beach and we want to preserve a cafe whether it's at the Coombe or somewhere. Does that help?

**Jeff Wilcox:** I do not agree and I'm not sure that the parish council or community has agreed to this stance on the shoreline management policy.

**Clir Boulter:** The resolution agreed last year by the parish council and supported by residents was about putting in new sea defences and that is active intervention. At some stage we will come back and have that discussion about the Shoreline Management Plan. I understand that the NT feel that residents were consulted, but I've been a resident for 12 years and I wasn't aware of this at the time.

Cllr Bowyer: If there is a desire from the community to revisit this policy, then the parish council is willing to listen to these concerns and arrange appropriate meetings. For my own view, the vast majority of persons I have spoken to about the beach are highly supportive of defending what is there (*Calls of 'Here, Here!' from the public*) and the case that is being made for that is actually very strong. And I don't want to go over the arguments and discussions we have had with the NT, but there is a very strong set of views, not only from residents but beach hut owners and in particular visitors who want to see the beach being retained and one of the ways that they perceive them to be maintained is to defend them. That's a difficulty given the processes and given in particular the policies of the NT. This compromise solution is actually designed to alleviate that difficulty but the difficulty still remains if we are to look at other areas and if we are serious about examining for the future little beach (South Beach), and Fort Henry, those issues will have to be revisited and your suggestion would be appropriate in the context of revisiting this; and so thank you for your comment.

**Clir Dyball:** Can I just say that you are both right; and there has been no direct decision about the Shoreline Management Policy and this is for the future.

Clir Hammond: Can I just add that the issue that Jeff has raised and the point that Nick makes are enormously important but with due respect with everyone who is in the room at the moment, this is not the subject of this particular consultation. We are very well aware of the last 12 mths of that dynamic in policy; it is enormously important. What we have come to you with today is a compromise solution which we believe fits all the criteria that we came out with that we gathered from the community as desirable and it very neatly fits with a number of the NT's. Jeff I'm certainly not wanting to detract from the point that you are making but please that is not the purpose of today's meeting. We are not going to put it in a cupboard and forget about it; it is enormously important, but not for the decision today.

**Mr Stobart:** Concerned to learn that Fort Henry is under threat. An additional point is toilets; are there any plans for toilets at South Beach?

NT Clark: No, there aren't but we are listening to the points you feel strongly about as part of consultations

**Matt Brown:** On Utube there is a video published by Sky News, 3 years ago, after a storm had happened and on it there is Emma saying that they were making longterm plans for the area at the time; did anything ever come from those plans?

**NT Clark:** Well that's what has fed into this communication. So people will be aware that communication about the cafe actually started about 10 years ago and more recently, about 2 years ago; so it's been developing since that.

**CJ Mckintyre:** It's just that with the amount of money that the NT are willing to invest for the cafe, how can we ensure that this will go at a reasonable rental rate for a local person?

**Paul Brown:** I'll answer that, my rent is 10p in the £1; it's 10% of turnover, so it's a guaranteed level.

**CJ Mckintyre**: And can you guarantee that this will remain at this level for the new cafe? **NT Clark:** No I can't say that now. I can understand your concern that the level of rent will determine who will wish to run it and that concern will be taken into account when we get to that point. Just a little bit about National Trust decisions, because previously there has been a debate about; and actually we use a scheme which within NT is called 'the triple bottom line'. It is basically that our decisions are not just based on money; it's also based on conservation and on the long term proposals. Those three elements have equal importance when a decision is being taken. And so when we get to that point about the level of investment, that gets taken into that equation.

**CIIr Bowyer:** So can I infer from that Laurie that in effect by balancing those three dimensions NT would consider something that is effectively below a market rent? If we were to compare the cafe with a cafe in Swanage where STC do no operate a triple bottom line, that NT would consider developing an approach to what is charged which would be below the market rate.

**NT Clark:** The Market rent; what is market rent? What someone will pay. So if you go for market rent, you go for the maximum that someone will pay. But we do not operate that position at Middle Beach and we would bot propose to operate that position in the future.

Clir Hammond: Could I just say to CJ to give you some further assurance that the negotiating team have been very keen, not just that it's a local person that runs it, but also as I'm sure you are very aware, Middle Beach is part of the fabric of the local community. Local children have worked in this cafe for many years and we would like that sort of embeddedness of community to be incorporated into the new business model when it gets taken forward. This is something that I know is on the agenda for us to discuss with with NT in more detail over what will be a period of about a year and a half or so. So just to give you assurance that that is something that has always been on our agenda.

Joy: Thank you to all the people who have been on this group; you have given up hours and hours over months and months; thank you very much. The one thing I would have wished is that you hadn't been so secretive. I am immensely reassured about what I have heard tonight. But until a few days ago, we knew absolutely nothing and we really were rather worried. So in future pls let us know in advance; and we won't be so worried about what you are doing. I would like to make one very small contribution, if you remember, I made remarks about bluebells some time ago, if the cafe goes into the Coombe, may I suggest that we ask volunteers to dig up the bluebells where they are most likely to be eroded by the sea and transplant them around the back of the cafe and the loos and I will be quite happy to be legally responsible for this. Because Plant Life and The

Botannical Society for the British Isles are unlikely to take me to court (because I'm a member of both of these).

#### APPLAUSE AND LAUGHTER

**Clir Bowyer:** 2 points I think it's fair to say that we do need to communicate in a little more detail these relevant discussions, so think perhaps more detail could be put in the parish news about the developments that have taken place with the discussions and this is the first time that we've had such ongoing discussions and clearly keeping these on is helpful. With regard to the bluebells, I'm sure that NT will be having an interesting conversation with Natural England over the issues that you raise.

**Visitor:** I don't live round here; I love this area. Last year, we knew something was going on and between NT and the cafe and we were concerned. And I like the idea that a group of people are trying to keep what they have because otherwise you go to places and they only have chain cafes or recognisable cafes and don't have any local character. Without these, the world would be a poorer place.

## **APPLAUSE**

Clir Bowyer: Slightly at a tangent, the parish council regard employment opportunities and even small business opportunities as important for the nature of the community; the parish of Studland is changing and it has been changing for the last 30, 40, 50 years. There has been significant reduction in local employment and there has been a significant reduction in local businesses; anything that could resurrect that and in particular encourage what economists call inter-trading between those local communities is really something that will strengthen this particular parish and is something that we would wish to have ongoing discussions with NT and I would like to have your support on that because we want to make sure that Studland remains a vibrant, living community for a range of persons, including those who work and run their businesses here.

#### LOUD APPLAUSE

**Clir Bowyer:** Ok is there anything else that anyone wishes to raise?

**Karen Forrest:** I would just like to say that alot of us who have been involved with this really care, we are passionate and came together to do something rather than do nothing. And obviously there are things that we are facing... like Fort Henry. We have dialogue now with the Trust which is fantastic and I think we have a future of the dialogue to look forward to...Good we have dialogue with NT. People here may help with other things for the future.

**Mike Cheeseman:** Can I just make one comment. One thing that I found interesting from my involvement from the outset, when we came from our meeting on 25 May and we were all sat round the table and we were all not really too sure what was going to happen, it was really interesting to me that the Assistant Director, Ian Wilson said and I'm paraphrasing that this decision is more about the community than it is about money re: where the cafe is located and I think that what it's created and hopefully what we can now use is a springboard to develop a relationship with NT because I know they want to develop a closer relationship and that is one of the reasons that I was so passionate about being involved in it in the first place.

**Clir Bowyer:** Do you want to comment about that remark, Laurie?

**NT Clark**: Well I think I have stated on numerous occasions that what the NT is determined to do is to find a way that we can consult, discuss and hear the views of the local community with regards to actions that are taking place. And we are trying to find a way of doing this which enables people to give their views clearly; it sounds easy doesn't it, but actually it's amazingly difficult, because of the diverse nature understandably of those people who want to give us their thoughts about what is going on. So we are working with in this case the parish council which is actually your elected representatives to be able to do that, but if people have views and thoughts on that, we really do want to hear.

Clir Hammond: Peter if I may, I just wanted to and you make the point that, and I totally understand where you are coming from that you felt that the group was being a little bit secretive because the option that we have come up with actually I don't think any of us round the consultative table had even really contemplated the Coombe option until around about 6 weeks ago, about that sort of time frame, it really did from our perspective, we were fighting tooth and nail for the option that had support which was basically keep the cafe where it is and that is what we were entirely focused on and the NT was very much focused on moving it elsewhere, crudely speaking, into the carpark. I can assure you that the Coombe option did come up seriously very

late in the day and it is the reason that you are all gathered here today is that we wanted to be completely and utterly transparent about that option before the Parish Council takes the final decision whether or not to support it. So I understand exactly where you are coming from, that a year is a long time but it's only 4/5/6 weeks since we looked at it seriously.

Clir Bowyer: Ok is there anything else that anyone else would like to raise at all?

**Past resident:** It's a technical point that someone said at the beginning of the meeting that the NT own the land. And I've just looked on my ipad and the NT was set up in 1895 by a couple of well-meaning people and all way through it was set up for the public. The NT do not own the land, as members of the public we do!

NT Laurie: It's a delicate matter this one, but the National Trust established by constitution, when it was established in 1895, the NT was set up as a company, a business, that was what it was set up for. It transferred through an Act of Parliament in 1907. If people want to read the Act of Parliament, it's quite interesting reading because it moved for it to become a charitable body's responsible to the trustees of the organisation managed by the council. Those council and trustees are elected every year by its membership but we are not a membership based organisation. So it's a subtlety but we are actually as a charity now and that charity is managed and overseen by the trustees of the organisation which are elected by its members. So essentially the public participation on that is through the membership engagement through the trustees. So it's a technicality, but it's quite an important one because this group has actually been working with the trustees.

Clir Bowyer: OK thank you very much, is there anything else?

Sarah Ferguson: I'm intrigued by the idea and want some clarity; I do understand that there aren't any plans ready yet. But is this cafe to be halfway up the slope or down below or hanging off the top? And if so, how is it going to be serviced? Are they going to be coming along the beach? A road? On soft sand? How are they going to service the cafe, then there's the rubbish etc., I'm absolutely fascinated to know how this is going to be developed and run. And also if it is going to be below, are you going to make a road to it? Car park? Will there be huge earth movements? There's been landslides on the other side by the cricket pitch just in recent years similar to the ones you have had down to the West, I'm really intrigued and how to do something that won't destroy the affect of the dragons teeth and the fact that they are a listed monument; I'm not convinced, I'd like to have more information.

**Clir Bowyer:** I think it's fair to say that at this stage we can't answer those questions because it hasn't been decided where it's going to be and what format it's going to be but I'm sure from this evening's meeting, there's a very clear message that we need to communicate and to get feedback from yourselves on the details as they emerge.

**Sarah Ferguson:** One more comment, having been here for 58 years, and watched the erosion, just a hundred yards down and the other way going further North if you like, our beach hut, it used to be there, is thoroughly out in the sea, so you might say that is an area where there has been the least erosion, well a hundred years further down, is where there has been the most. So there may be a cafe in the future, but there may be nowhere to sit on the beach.

Peter Bowyer: OK, thank you.

**Paul Brown:** I'd like to make one suggestion, 9 months to a year down the line, when Levitate (the architect) get all these plans drawn up and you all decide on the option, is that any interested parties that want to take this business opportunity on, have an open meeting with the plans so that people understand what they are letting themselves in for because at the moment there are no plans. This will take time. I think if the parish council and NT can get together, and then when everything is sorted out and we have got some good plans, a good access route to the new cafe and that sort of stuff; do an open day and put it in the parish council meeting; any one wanting to go for the cafe tender, come along to the meeting and learn about the size of the building, the seating area you have got, the size of the kitchen you've got and what you are looking at and maybe then, it will answer alot more people's questions about what as a business it's going to offer people.

**Clir Boulter:** Yes there are some unknowns at the moment but what the plan is and Laurie can hopefully confirm this; there is a Working Group that is going to be set up which will be detailed architecture working group which will go into exactly what the location will be, all the issues that you have mentioned about how you can get access; the issue that Fay mentioned about safety of children and so on. So there's going to be at least three members of the community to be involved

in the detailed working with Levitate. We are going to formalise this meeting on 3 July 2017 but there will be a Steering Group which will include a couple of members of the parish council who will work with the National Trust at Ian Wilson's and Laurie Clark's level to overview what is actually going to happen and after 6-12 months time, if we think things are going pear shaped; not going well, then certainly we will be the first people to say something. But I 100% agree with what Paul said that when we have alot more information, and we have the detailed plans, then we will have another public meeting.

**Clir Bowyer:** OK to conclude this; and thank you very much for your attendance, it would be very helpful for the parish council this evening to have some indication of whether you support the line that we are taking to explore further the potential for the cafe to be relocated at the Coombe, at a location that has yet to be decided but bearing upon what Nick has said, to engage with yourselves and others about the details. Is it possible for you to give some indication to the parish council now as to whether you are broadly supportive of the line that we are taking.

**NB.** At this point, approximately a third of the public had already left the meeting; of those remaining (approx 30), 15 hands raised in support, which was approximately a half of the remaining public). A Cllr suggested that those in support was 70-80% of those remaining but the clerk doesn't feel that we took a clear enough count to support that or any figure.

The Chairman thanked persons for attending this part of the meeting and encouraged persons to remain for the rest of the meeting where upon, he paused the meeting for a short break as the public left leaving one member of the public.

**3.2 - Public Participation (General)**, an opportunity for members of the public to raise issues of concern or interest, ask a question, make a statement or deputation or to present a petition.

**Karen Wright:** Just confirmation about how far along/ what is happening with the speeding bicycles etc and possibilities of improving the safety of villagers walking across the road. I believe there was a consultation re: speeding down at the cross here and the possibility of changing the sign to a warning of people crossing the road with a view that vehicles are going down at +30mph; it's a worry for the villagers.

Cllr Bowyer: We have briefly discussed this as a parish council but we decided and I think I'm correct, that we are not able to, at this stage, not able to take any action. It's very difficult to have any legal force to prevent cyclists from speeding down because the laws that apply to road users, relate to propelled vehicles and cycles are not considered to be propelled vehicles. With regards to the sign at the top of the road, where there is currently a warning of horses, the problem with removing that sign and replacing it, is that there is still a significant risk for horse users because of the movement of horses down by the riding stable. And also the sign is located on the wrong side of the road. In short the council has not supported the removal and replacement of the sign. However we can still have ongoing discussion and later in this meeting, we are considering the finances of having a Speed Indicator Device as previously used. There are existing posts for a mobile speed indicator device could be installed. The council has an opportunity, either on its own or with other parish councils to see if they can purchase a SID. We would not expect it to be used all the time because its impact is reduced when it is there all the time. The purpose of these signs is to have an impact. When I passed the SID at Corfe and realised that I was driving at 33 mph, I obviously slowed down.

**Karen Wright:** I can totally understand the horses and I can see that, it's just when you've got a mother who can't get across the road.

**Clir Bowyer:** Another thing, when we had discussions with the Highways Authority in preparation of the parish plan, Highways were quite adamant that we couldn't have a crossing there. They went through all the possibilities including an island, lights, etc., and basically they said there is no way you can have even a zebra crossing there. So we are stuck with what we have got for the moment.

**Karen Wright:** But if that speeding sign was up, it would give notice of the speed of cars and cyclists just before they go round the corner and go down.

NB. Members were unaware if SID picks up the speed of cyclists; but DCIIr Dragon confirmed that it does.

## 4. Confirmation of Minutes:

- **4.1 -** The minutes of the Council Meeting held on 15 May 2017 were signed as a true and accurate record.
- **4.2 -** The minutes of the Committee Meeting held on 5 June 2017 were signed as a true and accurate record.

**NB.** It was commented that the Clerk should add an update on progress of ACTIONS and that the Clerk should circulate the minutes sooner.

## 5. National Trust Report:

- **5.1** The National Trust Report was not circulated in advance of the meeting, members will be given the opportunity to make further comments on this report at the next parish council meeting. Comments made:
- Tree Warden Update for next meeting.
- NT report in parish news was not about Studland. NT responded that the report is a general report published in all Purbeck parishes.
- Re: Estate Agents signs AGENDA item for next meeting SPC to consider the policy used by NT regarding signs on their property that anything commercial is removed immediately, signs advertising community events e.g. fetes are left for a week, then removed. It was suggested that SPC ask DCC to remove the advertising signs from the Highway including the paid for ones. DCIIr Dragon confirmed that DCC has recently removed all these sorts of signs from the Norden roundabout.
- Concern was expressed about the beach rubbish bins not being collected.
- A company based in Poole are to provide the overnight emergency service on behalf of NT, previously carried out by NT employees resident in Studland; the service will operate between the hours of 8pm and 8am. Concern was raised that there should be a local person employed to carry out this service.
- Some residents have expressed concern about the lack of the large sign warning visitors of fire risk as they enter the village at Pinewood end.
- **5.2 -** To explore additional avenues of communication with the National Trust and the community and between the National Trust and SPC:
- Part of this is how we take the successful working together of the NT Consultative group / Working Group re: Middle Beach forward. It is understood that the working group of SPC which makes up the NT Consultative group reports back to SPC.
- It is suggested that a Working Group of the Parish Council meet NT regularly to discuss the wider issues that affect Studland and its community in order to try and find solutions
   ACTION to be a future agenda item

## 6. District and County Councillor Reports:

## 6.1 - DISTRICT. Update:

- Signage discussed earlier.
- Until recently DCllrs have not been copied into the written answers to residents' questions at Council meetings when it has not been possible to give a verbal answer at the meeting; this has now changed.
- There have been two adoptions of plans locally; (1) Lytchett Matravers' Neighbourhood Planthere was a Referendum where 1400 voted for and only 92 against. (2). The Swanage Local Plan no Referendum. It was commented that compared to the LMNP which has clear community support, community support for the SLP is not so clear and it was commented that many Swanage residents are unaware of the existence of the SLP.
- Purbeck Local Plan questions why it was considered an emergency item at the last District Council meeting for the Review Group to be abolished and the work on the PLP has now been passed to the Policy Group. This creates issues as the Policy group only has representation from one political party whereas before the Review Group was balanced across parties.
- Currently no further update on multiple occupation re: Heatherside.
- PDC Dog warden hours of work 12 to 5pm are unsuitable; should coincide with times of dog walking.
- Railway started a trial service to Wareham. There is concern that it doesn't stop at Harmans Cross where there is a good car parking, but no bus service.
- Only DCIIr who voted against the increase in councils solicitor.

#### 6.2 - COUNTY.

- Originally was shared post, vote was to increase the post pay of anyone who had statutory responsibilities
- Local Govt review County issued a press release of the 6 authorities in support of unitary. This
  issue does not seem the highest priority for the Secretary of State. It is understood that SOS
  may ask the 6 authorities work with the other 3 to work together; in anticipation of this, the 6
  have approached the 3 authorities. We hope to know more August/Sept 2017.
- This week is refugee week; at County there is a timeline display for you to look at.
- DWP update you can apply for a large bin at an extra cost, also nappy sacks.
- DCC advice during hot weather is to look out for your neighbours.
- Update re: DCC, CCllr Peter Wharf has temporarily stepped down from his position as Deputy Leader of DCC. CCllr Jill Haynes is Acting Deputy Leader.

# 7. SPC agreed (with 5 members voting for and 1 against) the following recommendation from 5 June 2017 Committee Meeting:

- **7.1 -** Support for the Coombe re-location for Middle Beach Cafe and associated facilities.
- **7.2 -** Re-location should be transitional i.e. the existing Middle Beach Cafe should not be closed until the new cafe is ready to open.
- **7.3 -** Should the Coombe re-location be found to be unworkable, the cafe location would revert back to the existing Middle Beach site and consultation on the options would re-commence.

## 8. Planning:

**8.1 Planning Application - 06/2017/0319** Holly Cottage, Agglestone Road, Studland **ACTION** Clerk to ask PDC Planning for an extension so SPC can consider this at the additional meeting of 3 July 2017.

## 8.2 Tree Applications - None.

## **8.3 Other Planning Related Matters - Sandbanks development:**

It was resolved to object on the grounds of scale and scope of the proposal. In addition there are potential implications for this side of the harbour entrance with regard to traffic and parking issues. It is noted that NT Brownsea have written a combined letter and NT Clark will share this letter with SPC for information.

## 9. Crime. None reported.

**10. Highways -** Considered the purchase of a Speed Indicator device for Studland. Before committing financially, more evidence is required from the Highways authority and the Police. It was generally accepted anecdotally that SID work at reducing speeds.

## 11. Chairman's Announcements:

- Resignation of Cllr Andrew Parsons.

## 12. Clerk's Items and Correspondence:

- Letter received by Mrs Summers informing SPC of her recent communication with Dorset Waste Partnership re: the unemptied litter bins at the Beach Road Toilets. In addition Mrs Summers would like residents to be informed that they can contact DWP themselves to complain about bins needing to be emptied and the additional advice is to inform the Parish Clerk about your complaint and to tell DWP at the time of your compliant that the Parish Clerk is aware of the complaint.

## 13. Reports from Committees and Working Groups - None.

#### 14. Reports from Representatives.

- Identified for next AGENDA need new representative for village hall management committee, this representative needs to also agree to be a trustee of VHMC
- The Chairman attended the Swanage Town Partnership meeting, its relevance for Studland is that the Swanage recharge is coming to and end, and so is being looked at again. It was mentioned that the relevant policy from PDC as part of emerging local plan can be revisited. There may be a problem where the money is coming from, so maybe useful for them to try to influence the draft Shoreline Management plan.
- At the DAPTC Executive meeting of 3 June 2017, the new leader of DCC Rebecca Knox gave a presentation and then answered questions which covered the LEP and DCC noted the point about the urban drive in rural areas.

## 15. Financial Reports:

15.1 ACCOUNT BALANCES AS AT 31 MAY 2017; BANK ACCOUNT £36,736.52; SAVINGS ACCOUNT £1,763.74.

15.2 Resolve to agree to pay the clerk's salary and to make the following payments: It was agreed to review the Clerk's salary at the additional meeting of 3 July 2017.

	£
J.D. Facilities Ltd (Cleaning Beach Road Public Conveniences)	227.76
Clerk's expenses: McAfee subscription £109.99	109.99
Playsafety Limited (Annual inspection)	109.20
TOTAL (not including clerk's salary)	446.95

NB. It was noted the importance of recording Cllr expenses so that the true costs are known, but was agreed to remove the individual Cllrs name from the agenda/minutes.

#### 15.3 Other Financial Business -

## **15.3.1. - Grant Requests:**

(NB. BDO confirmed that although the grant of £350 to PCC of Studland was agreed at March 2017 meeting, the payment wasn't raised until April 2017 meeting (which takes it into the next financial year) and so comes from the 2017/18 grant allocation. Remaining grant available for 2017/18 year is £650.

- a Studland Toddler's Group It was resolved to grant £150 to help cover costs of hall hire.
- b Studland Social Club Committee It was resolved to grant £200 to help cover costs of improvements to the ceiling to help reduce noise pollution for residents and also to help build up the reserves of the Community Benefit Society. A vote was taken: 5 for and 1 against.
- 15.3.2. The Parish Notice Board To consider the Estimate to replace the village notice board by M. Parsons (Joiner) of £730. Put guote out again in Parish News.
- 15.3.3. Approval of the Annual Return:
- a The Annual Governance Statements (Section 1 of the Annual Return) were approved and signed by the Chairman.
- b Annual Accounting Statements (Section 2 of the Annual Return) were approved and signed by the Chairman.

## 16. Date of Next Meeting:

SPC Meeting - 17 July 2017 at 7.30pm Additional Meeting - 3 July 2017 at 7.30pm