# MILBORNE ST ANDREW NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN WORKING PARTY



# MEETING HELD ON 14<sup>th</sup> June 2018 IN THE VILLAGE HALL COMMITTEE ROOM – 7.00 pm

#### No 44

- 1. Present: Sue Cherry SG, Ronald Hogg RH, Michael Hopper MH, Richard Macnair RM, Pam Shults PS and Jo Witherden JW
- 2. Apologies received from Georgie Carrington GC, Michael Brown MB, Sue Gould SG, and Dave Gould DG
- 3. Minutes of meeting 43

Read out by JW and approved.

4. Constitution

JW declared a conflict of interest i.e. the budget.

- 5. AECOM updates following consultation
  - The group discussed the response from Wyatt and DCC and agreed to ask AECOM to consider the
    ongoing long-term maintenance requirements. No response had yet been received from the Police –
    reminder to be sent. Action JW will then forward these details, together with the local residents'
    responses (see Item 9) to AECOM; and ask them to finalise the report.

## 6. Green Space responses

- The church authorities, DCC (in respect of Bladen View) and the Village Hall commented that there were already restrictions on the land but it was agreed that it would be worth registering them as 'green spaces'.
- The ownership of Coffin Path is not known, but it would still benefit from being registered as green space.
- The wooded area owned by the Bones was agreed should be classified as 'woodland'.
- The group unanimously agreed by to keep all the remaining areas as 'green space', but clarify that this did not signify any right of public access

## 7. Woodland behind the Business Centre/The Rings

- The Senior Ranger would be happy to meet with the NP group
- Ladybirds has responded to the suggestion of a smaller site, which would be suitable but should be fenced appropriately and maintained.
- The rest of the woodland area remains under discussion, it was discussed that, although there is still an interest, there is no benefit to it being gifted to the village unless it is handed over in a fit condition with an agreement to maintain it or a gifted sum of money made to the to the Parish Council for its maintenance. It was decided to await the decision of the Ranger before discussing further. Action MH agreed to take the lead in meeting the Ranger with others from the group.

### 8. Reserve site options

• There are currently 3 potential sites to be as reserve ones – Huntley Down, Homefield and Blandford Hill North. The group discussed the application now submitted for Blandford Hill North, and the ideas being promoted by Wessex Strategic for the Homefield site, which proposes a much more extensive area of land than shown at the landowner evening, potentially developed in 3 phases.

# MILBORNE ST ANDREW NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN WORKING PARTY



• It was also noted that no decision has yet been made on Huntley Down. The group recognised that the plan was in danger of identifying significantly more development than needed, and that decisions on the submitted planning applications could undermine the plan-making process. This would need to be carefully considered and any phasing should take this into account. The final decision on the reserve option or phasing arrangements would therefore be postponed to the next meeting.

### 9. Feedback on recent consultations

- RM still needs to collate the information, once done he will circulate it. Action RM
- The group felt that the consultation had gone well with positive comments from those who attended.
- Open letter from Mr Miller related to the draining of the Camelco site has been received. This needs to be followed up by passing the concerns to the Camelco planners for their response. **Action JW**

### 10. Consultation of the Draft Report.

- It was suggested that a draft Neighbour Plan (NP) should be presented asap so that it can be put out for general consultation.
- To meet the date of the next Parish Council meeting the NP needs to be completed by the end of this
  month. JW will update it in light of the consultation responses and re-circulate for the next meeting.
  Action JW
- The draft NP to go to the July PC meeting which is the start of the final process. The 6 week consultation could start as early as the 23rd July and run to 4th September.
- There should be a couple of consultation events and the NP published on line and in public areas e.g. the Post Office, the Royal Oak, etc. This would need to provide information on all of the main sites that had been promoted, including the new information received for the Homefield site
- Further arrangements for this process, including questionnaires, can be discussed at the next meeting
- RH will present the draft flood plan to the new flood wardens for their comments. Other members to read and comment on the draft plan. **Action RH / All**

### 11. Reporter article

• The article should include brief feedback on progress so far and outcome of the consultation also information about the new planning applications. **Action – JW** 

### 12. A.O.B.

- a. Grant JW has submitted a quotation for her work RM proposed PS seconded—unanimously agreed. There was no requirement to go out to tender for this work.
- b. Unknown If the GP has contacted Camelco contractor.

Date of next meeting—03/07/2018 @ 7:00 p.m. VH Committee Room (tbc)