

Mabe Parish Council Planning Committee

Minutes – 24 September 2026

Minutes of Mabe Parish Council held on Thursday 24 September 26 at 1800 hrs, Mabe Parish and WI Hall, Antron Hill, Mabe.

Councillors present: Councillors: P Tisdale, L Foreman, K West, M Wilkinson.

Officer support: Clerk

Minute no:	Agenda Items	
PC.26.27.016	Apologies for absence – Councillor Galke	
PC.26.27.017	Members' Declaration of Interests – Councillor West PC.26.27.20a, Councillor Tisdale PC.26.27.20c	
PC.26.27.018	To approve written requests for dispensation – None	
PC.26.27.019	Public Speaking - None	
PC.26.27.020	Planning Applications	
	a	Councillor West leaves the room before any discussion takes place PA26/05916 – Following a discussion Resolved – Supported Councillor West re-enters the room after the resolution has been made
	b	PA26/06016 -Following a discussion Resolved – The application was not supported in its current form. The application is a major development (over 1.5 hectares) and therefore should be classed as a such and the relevant procedures associated with this application should apply. Once the application is submitted in the proper form, the Parish Council will be able to consider the application.
	c	Councillor Tisdale leaves the room prior to discussions taking place PA26/05968 – Following a lengthy discussion, during which it was highlighted that Cornwall Council did not require a response to this application. Resolved – That the Parish Council would make the following comments. The burden of proof is with the applicant, who has submitted supporting statement , giving assurance of usage. There is a 2018 planning application and consent within the same site curtilage.PA18/05727 refers. This poses two issues. Firstly a separate application within the same site curtilage for a non-residential use, breaks the requirement for continuous use.

	Furthermore contrary to the claim of continuous usage submitted with the current application. The 2018 application documentation submitted as Design statement and dated 15th June 2018 states “ The proposed building has been so positioned so that will be partially hidden behind the proposed dwelling(yet to be constructed and existing garage) ----Access for customers with disability will be via the driveway serving the domestic residence. Therefore the ten year requirement has not been met. The physical inconsistency rule applies and the application should be refused on the grounds of interruption of Continuous use and Contradictory Declaration on access. Councillor Tisdale re-enters the room after the resolution has been made.
There being no further business to discuss the meeting closed at 1825 hrs	
	Signed by Chair