

## **Landowner Open Evening Feedback: 25th November 2017**

Thank you for attending the Landowner Open evening. We hope you enjoyed the presentations & now feel able to provide the Neighbourhood Plan Group (& the landowners) feedback on their proposals. This feedback is really important to the development of the final plan as it will:

- ✓ Enable the Neighbourhood Plan Group to tailor guidance to best meet the village's needs
- ✓ Enable the Landowners to refine their proposals in line with our preferences
- ✓ Enable the Neighbourhood Plan Group to, based on your feedback, potentially, prioritise the proposed sites further into two (or more) phases of development.

☐

Please "Tick" to confirm that you are a resident within the Parish of Milborne St Andrew (if you are not a local resident, please explain your interest in this consultation in the "ideas or concerns" box on the last page of this form)

Please provide your home Post Code (while the feedback sheets attached will be shared with the relevant developers, this information will not be shared beyond the Neighbourhood Plan Group).

### **Scoring Principles**

- 5 - "Very Happy"
- 4- "Happy"
- 3- "Neutral"
- 2- "Unhappy"
- 1- "Very Unhappy"

***Please return your completed feedback form via the box by the village hall entrance or, if you need more time to complete it, via the envelope at the Shop by Saturday 2nd December***

**1. Camelco - Milk Factory Car Park**

	<b>Score 1 - 5</b> (5 "Very Happy")	<b>What I liked about the Proposal...</b>	<b>How the Proposal could be improved...</b>
<b>Housing</b> - Matches view of consultation that smaller, more affordable, houses are needed			
<b>Character of the Village</b> - Could contribute positively to the character of the village & would not create or suffer unduly from disturbance / overlooking			
<b>Business &amp; Employment</b> - Will provide opportunities to work locally			
<b>Parking</b> - More parking than standard, as road widths and public transport insufficient in the village			
<b>Community Benefit</b> - Will support the improvement or continued use of key community facilities			
<b>"Overall" view of the Proposal</b>			

## 2. Blandford Hill North

	<b>Score 1 - 5</b> <u>Roadside Strip</u>	<b>Score 1 - 5</b> <u>Larger Site</u>	<b>What I liked about the Proposal...</b>	<b>How the Proposal could be improved...</b>
<b>Housing</b> - Matches view of consultation that smaller, more affordable, houses are needed				
<b>Character of the Village</b> - Could contribute positively to the character of the village & would not create or suffer unduly from disturbance / overlooking				
<b>Business &amp; Employment</b> - Will provide opportunities to work locally				
<b>Parking</b> - More parking than standard, as road widths and public transport insufficient in the village				
<b>Community Benefit</b> - Will support the improvement or continued use of key community facilities				
<b>"Overall" view of the Proposal</b>				

### 3. Blandford Hill South

	<b>Score 1 - 5</b> <u>Roadside Strip</u>	<b>Score 1 - 5</b> <u>Larger Site</u>	<b>What I liked about the Proposal...</b>	<b>How the Proposal could be improved...</b>
<b>Housing</b> - Matches view of consultation that smaller, more affordable, houses are needed				
<b>Character of the Village</b> - Could contribute positively to the character of the village & would not create or suffer unduly from disturbance / overlooking				
<b>Business &amp; Employment</b> - Will provide opportunities to work locally				
<b>Parking</b> - More parking than standard, as road widths and public transport insufficient in the village				
<b>Community Benefit</b> - Will support the improvement or continued use of key community facilities				
<b>"Overall" view of the Proposal</b>				

#### 4. Huntley Down

	Score 1 - 5 (5 "Very Happy")	What I liked about the Proposal...	How the Proposal could be improved...
<b>Housing</b> - Matches view of consultation that smaller, more affordable, houses are needed			
<b>Character of the Village</b> - Could contribute positively to the character of the village & would not create or suffer unduly from disturbance / overlooking			
<b>Business &amp; Employment</b> - Will provide opportunities to work locally			
<b>Parking</b> - More parking than standard, as road widths and public transport insufficient in the village			
<b>Community Benefit</b> - Will support the improvement or continued use of key community facilities			
<b>"Overall" view of the Proposal</b>			

## General Questions

<p><b>The Housing need of the plan area could be met by allocating a site (or sites) that would provide about 30 dwellings. If Milborne St Andrew had the opportunity to get more community benefits by supporting more development within the Parish than this, would you support this, in principle?</b></p>	<p><b>Score 1 - 5</b> (5 "Very Happy")</p>	<p><b>Comments</b></p>
--	--	------------------------

<p><b>If we were to decide to only take forward two sites at this time (keeping two in reserve), which two would you prefer to be taken forward? (Please Tick Two)</b></p>	<p><b>Camelco Carpark</b></p>	<p><b>Blandford Hill North</b></p>	<p><b>Blandford Hill South</b></p>	<p><b>Huntley Down</b></p>
--	-------------------------------	------------------------------------	------------------------------------	----------------------------

<p><b>Do you have any other ideas or concerns you would like the Neighbourhood Plan Group or Landowners to consider?</b></p>	
--	--

The previous phases of consultation with the village resulted in the Neighbourhood Planning Group (NPG) requesting the landowners for the following sites to submit proposals based on only part of the sites they originally submitted for consideration for as potential options for development. In three cases landowners have chosen to submit proposals for your consideration that reflect their original requests as opposed to those requested by the NPG. Based on what you have seen tonight, are you happy for the individual proposals to be taken forward for their whole sites as requested?

Site	Variation of proposal from what was requested by the NPG	Are you happy with the larger scale proposal offered by the Landowner?	
		Yes – larger area is acceptable	No – larger area is unacceptable
<b>Camelco Carpark</b>	The landowner proposal includes the area occupied by old waste water storage lagoon originally excluded by the NPG (an area about 70m x 90m). This lagoon area was excluded from the earlier consultation and NPG's request to the landowner, due to our being unsure about the contamination risk posed by this area. Provided that the landowner can demonstrate satisfactorily that there is no contamination and the area can be safely developed, the NPG can see no reason to continue to exclude this area from the site.		
<b>Blandford Hill South</b>	The landowner proposal includes the entire field between Blandford Hill and Homefield (about 280m x 300m), although the amount of land developed would be subject to further discussions. The earlier consultation and NPG's request to the landowner was based on just the strip of land alongside Blandford Hill (about 60m deep), that would provide a generous single depth plot along the road. The remaining field area was originally excluded by the NPG due to the rising nature and visual impact of the land. The developers have indicated that a larger area would be needed to provide community benefits that could come with a larger scheme.		
<b>Blandford Hill North</b>	The landowner proposal includes the entire field (extending between 140m to 240m back from the road). The earlier consultation and NPG's request to the landowner was based on just the strip of land alongside Blandford Hill (about 60m deep), that would provide a generous single depth plot along the road. The remaining field area was originally excluded by the NPG due to the rising nature and visual impact of the land. The developers have indicated that a larger area would be needed to provide the additional community benefits that they are proposing.		